

#### **Traffic and Circulation Study**

Montvale Historic District April 19, 2023

Petition:	Councilor Sean M. Rose on behalf of the citizens of the Montvale Historic District request a comprehensive traffic review be completed of the immediate neighborhood, including traffic patterns on Upper Monadnock Rd., Sagamore Rd., Whitman Rd. and Pratt St. Further, request traffic calming methods be explored in the interim, while the traffic review be completed.
Scheduled Committee Hearing:	April 26, 2023 Traffic & Parking Committee, Item 6a
Prepared by:	Todd M. Kirrane., Assistant Director Stephen S. Rolle, P.E., Commissioner

#### Summary

Study of traffic conditions in and around the Montvale neighborhood demonstrates that the neighborhood is experiencing very high levels of cut through traffic that are excessive for the local street classification. DTM recommends improvements to turn prohibition signing on Salisbury Street, traffic calming on neighborhood streets, and (pending funding) revisions to improve safety and operations at the Salisbury St/Park Avenue intersection. Should these measures prove insufficient, more drastic traffic calming and network interruption measures could be considered.

#### Introduction

The purpose of this study is to establish and review baseline conditions for the Montvale Historic District to identify any safety or operational deficiencies and determine if alterations to the public way, including, but not limited to, traffic signal operation changes on Park Avenue, traffic calming, grid network changes, etc. are warranted. Recommendations will be based on federal, state, and industry best practices.

Councilor Rose submitted the traffic review request on behalf of his constituents who reside in the neighborhood. The problems identified through conversations with local residents include pedestrian and bicycle safety, speeding vehicles, high cut through traffic volume, difficulty to cross street, and a high volume of cut-through traffic.



IMAGE 1: MONTVALE HISTORIC DISTRICT

#### Existing Conditions Roadways

Monadnock Road is classified by the Massachusetts Department of Transportation (MassDOT) as a Local Roadway under City jurisdiction. The roadway is 30 feet curb to curb and provides residential connections to Sagamore Road and Salisbury Street. Monadnock Road is two way and provides one general purpose motor vehicle travel lane in each direction. On Street parking is restricted only December 1 to March 31<sup>st</sup> during the overnight hours; ordained NO PARKING ANYTIME easterly from Salisbury to Sagamore easterly, and otherwise it is unrestricted. The statutory (unposted) speed limit is 30 mph. Land use is primarily single family residential. Continuous sidewalks exist along both sides of the street from Salisbury Rd to approximately 288 feet north of Ostego Rd. and then along the even numbered curb between the two intersections with Whitman Rd.

Montvale Road is classified by the Massachusetts Department of Transportation (MassDOT) as a Local Roadway under City jurisdiction. The roadway is 22 feet curb to curb and provides residential connections to Sagamore Road and Waconah Road. Montvale Road is two way and provides one general purpose motor vehicle travel lane in each direction. On Street parking is restricted only December 1 to March 31<sup>st</sup> during the overnight hours on the even side; otherwise, it is unrestricted. The statutory (unposted) speed limit is 30 mph. Land use is primarily single family residential. Continuous sidewalks exist along eastern curb line of the street for the entire length and from Salisbury Road to 188 feet north of Sagamore Road along the western curbline.

Pratt Street is classified by the Massachusetts Department of Transportation (MassDOT) as a Local Roadway under City jurisdiction. The roadway is 23 feet curb to curb and provides residential connections to Park Avenue. Pratt Street is two way and provides one general purpose motor vehicle travel lane in each direction. On Street parking is restricted only December 1 to March 31<sup>st</sup> during the overnight hours on the even side and a posted NO PARKING ANYTIME on the odd side. The statutory (unposted) speed limit is 30 mph. Land use is primarily multi-family residential with some commercial closer to Park Avenue. Continuous sidewalks exist along both sides of the roadway.

Sagamore Road is classified by the Massachusetts Department of Transportation (MassDOT) as a Local Roadway under City jurisdiction. The roadway is 30 feet curb to curb and provides residential connections to Park Avenue and Monadnock Road. Sagamore Road is two way and provides one general purpose motor vehicle travel lane in each direction. On Street parking is restricted only December 1 to March 31<sup>st</sup> during the overnight hours on the both sides in the study area and from 72 feet east of Whitman Road to Whitman Road; otherwise, it is unrestricted. The statutory (unposted) speed limit is 30 mph. Land use is primarily single family residential. Continuous sidewalks exist along both sides of the street for the entire length.

Waconah Road is classified by the Massachusetts Department of Transportation (MassDOT) as a Local Roadway under City jurisdiction. The roadway is 30 feet curb to curb and provides residential connections to Park Avenue. Waconah Road is two way and provides one general purpose motor vehicle travel lane in each direction. On Street parking is restricted only December 1 to March 31<sup>st</sup> during the overnight hours on the even side and the first 55 feet from Park Avenue on the odd side; otherwise, it is unrestricted. The statutory (unposted) speed limit is 30 mph. Land use is primarily single family residential. Continuous sidewalks exist along both sides of the roadway.

Whitman Road is classified by the Massachusetts Department of Transportation (MassDOT) as a Local Roadway under City jurisdiction. The roadway is 30 feet curb to curb and provides residential connections to Sagamore Road and Salisbury Street. Whitman Road is two way and provides one general purpose motor vehicle travel lane in each direction. On Street parking is restricted only December 1 to March 31<sup>st</sup> during the overnight hours; otherwise it is unrestricted. The statutory (unposted) speed limit is 30 mph. Land use is primarily single family residential. Continuous sidewalks exist along eastern curb line of the street for the entire length and from Salisbury Road to 188 feet north of Sagamore Road along the western curbline.

All street, except Pratt Street, include mature street trees, ornamental street lighting, and a tree lawn.

#### Intersections

The neighborhood street network is accessed by three intersections connecting with Salisbury Street and three intersections connecting with Park Avenue.

Monadnock Road meets Salisbury Street to form a T type unsignalized intersection. As the minor approach, the Monadnock Road approach is required to yield the right of way to the Salisbury Street approaches, which operate free under no control. The Monadnock Road approach provides one general purpose travel lane in each direction while the Salisbury Road approach provides two general purpose lanes in the westbound direction and one general purpose lane in the eastbound direction. Sidewalks are provided on both sides of both streets. Marked crosswalks and non-compliant wheelchair ramps are provided across Monadnock Road and across Salisbury Street along the eastern side of the intersection. There is no PEDESTRIAN CROSSWALK signage. Land use at the intersection consists of residential housing. There is a posted NO LEFT TURN 7am to 9am restriction from Salisbury Street onto Monadnock Road.

Whitman Road meets Salisbury Street to form a T type unsignalized intersection. As the minor approach, the Whitman Road approach is required to yield the right of way to the Salisbury Street approaches, which operate free under no control. The Whitman Road approach provides one general purpose travel lane in each direction while the Salisbury Road approach provides two general purpose lanes in the westbound direction and one general purpose lane in the eastbound direction. Sidewalks are provided on both sides of both streets. No marked crosswalks are provided at the intersection. Land use at the intersection consists of residential housing. There is a posted NO LEFT TURN 7am to 9am restriction from Salisbury Street onto Whitman Road.

Montvale Road meets Salisbury Street to form a T type unsignalized intersection. As the minor approach, the Montvale Road approach is required to yield the right of way to the Salisbury Street approaches, which operate free under no control. The Montvale Road approach provides one general purpose travel lane in each direction while the Salisbury Road approach provides two general purpose lanes in each direction. Sidewalks are provided on both sides of both streets. No marked crosswalks are provided at the intersection. Land use at the intersection

consists of residential housing. There is a posted NO LEFT TURN 7am to 9am restriction from Salisbury Street onto Whitman Road.

Monadnock Road meets Sagamore Road to form a T type unsignalized intersection. Sagamore Road approach operates under a STOP control while the Monadnock Road approaches operate free under no control. Both approaches provide one general purpose travel lane in each direction. Sidewalks are provided on both sides of both streets. No marked crosswalks or compliant wheelchair ramps are provided across either street. Land use at the intersection consists of residential housing.

Whitman Road meets Sagamore Road to form a four way unsignalized intersection. Both streets are under STOP control forming an ALL WAY STOP. Both approaches provide one general purpose travel lane in each direction. Sidewalks are provided on both sides of both streets. No marked crosswalks or compliant wheelchair ramps are provided across either street. Land use at the intersection consists of residential housing.

Whitman Road meets Pratt Street to form a T type unsignalized intersection. As the minor approach, the Pratt Street approach is required to yield the right of way to the Whitman Road approaches, which operate free under no control. Both approaches provide one general purpose travel lane in each direction. Sidewalks are provided on both sides of Pratt Street and the eastern curbline of Whitman Road. No marked crosswalks or compliant wheelchair ramps are provided across either street. Land use at the intersection consists of residential housing.

Whitman Road meets Waconah Road to form a T type unsignalized intersection. As the minor approach, the Waconah Road approach is required to yield the right of way to the Whitman Road approaches, which operate free under no control. Both approaches provide one general purpose travel lane in each direction. Sidewalks are provided on both sides of both streets. No marked crosswalks or compliant wheelchair ramps are provided across either street. Land use at the intersection consists of residential housing.

Montvale Road meets Waconah Road to form a T type unsignalized intersection. As the minor approach, the Montvale Road approach is required to yield the right of way to the Waconah Road approaches, which operate free under no control. Both approaches provide one general purpose travel lane in each direction. Sidewalks are provided on both sides of both streets. No marked crosswalks or compliant wheelchair ramps are provided across either street. Land use at the intersection consists of residential housing.



**IMAGE 2: MONTVALE HISTORIC DISTRICT INTERSECTIONS** 

#### **Speed Study**

A speed study was conducted using StreetLight Insight vehicle volume data. StreetLight vehicle speeds are calculated by StreetLight's machine learning algorithm. The learning algorithm gathers anonymized location records from smart phones and navigation devise in connected cars and trucks. The data is processed using StreetLight Route Science algorithm which uses the location data points over time into contextualized, aggregated, and normalized travel patterns.

The unposted statutory speed limit for all streets within the study area is 30 mph per Chapter 90, Section 17 of the Massachusetts General Laws. To evaluate the incidence and severity of speeding, two measures are evaluated. The *average speed* is as the name implies, the average or mean speed of all travelers on a particular roadway segment. The 85<sup>th</sup> percentile speed is the speed below which 85% of the vehicles on the road are traveling (conversely, 15% of drivers are traveling faster than the 85<sup>th</sup> percentile speed). The results for 2021 data are summarized below in Table 1:

# TABLE 12021 Study Area Travel Speeds

		Travel Speed		
<u>Street (from/to)</u>	Speed Limit	<u>Average</u>	85 <sup>th</sup> Percentile	
Monadnock (Salisbury/Sagamore)	30 mph	25 mph	38 mph	
Montvale	30 mph	14 mph	20 mph	
Sagamore (Park/Whitman)	30 mph	13 mph	18 mph	
Sagamore (Whitman/Monadnock)	30 mph	14 mph	19 mph	
Waconah (Park/Montvale)	30 mph	22 mph	44 mph	
Waconah (Montvale/Whitman)	30 mph	13 mph	20 mph	
Whitman (Salisbury/Waconah)	30 mph	19 mph	31 mph	
Whitman (Waconah/Sagamore)	30 mph	14 mph	21 mph	
Whitman (Sagamore/Pratt)	30 mph	17 mph	25 mph	

The StreetLight analysis depicted below shows the average vehicle speeds along segments within the study area. The thicker the bands, the higher the average speed.



IMAGE 3 STREETLIGHT AVERAGE SEGMENT SPEEDS FOR MONTVALE HISTORIC DISTRICTS

Based on this data, from a speed perspective only, the roadway segments that are of particular concern are Monadnock Road (Salisbury to Sagamore), Waconah Road (Park to Montvale), and Whitman Road (Salisbury to Waconah) and the impacted intersections within those areas.

However, it should be noted that Waconal Road and Whitman Road carry a low volume of traffic, whereas Monadnock Road and Sagamore Road carry very high volumes of traffic, as described in the following section.

#### **Traffic Volumes**

#### Daily Traffic

A traffic volume study was conducted also using StreetLight vehicle volume data.

As reviewed earlier, all of the streets within the study area are classified as Local Roads under City jurisdiction by the Massachusetts Department of Transportation (MassDOT). Local roadways within an urban setting typical carry fewer than 1000 vehicles per day, on average. The results for 2021 data are summarized below in Table 2 and depicted graphically in Image 4.

#### TABLE 2

#### 2021 Study Area Average Volume

<u>Street (from/to)</u>	Average Daily Traffic
Monadnock (Salisbury/Sagamore)	4454
Montvale	308
Sagamore (Park/Whitman)	4015
Sagamore (Whitman/Monadnock)	4536
Waconah (Park/Montvale)	620
Waconah (Montvale/Whitman)	363
Whitman (Salisbury/Waconah)	530
Whitman (Waconah/Sagamore)	193
Whitman (Sagamore/Pratt)	797

These results demonstrate far higher than expected traffic volumes on Monadnock Road (Salisbury to Sagamore) and Sagamore Road, which indicates a significant amount of cutthrough traffic traveling between Salisbury Street and Park Avenue.



IMAGE 4 STREETLIGHT AVERAGE SEGMENT VOLUMES FOR MONTVALE HISTORIC DISTRICTS

#### Origin/Destination of Cut-Through Vehicles

To better understand travel patterns, the locations of vehicles as they immediately enter the Montvale Historic District and then exit the neighborhood were reviewed (Image 5).

This data confirms that the vast majority of the cut-through traffic is entering the neighborhood by making a left turn from Salisbury Road, including in violation of the posted NO LEFT TURN restriction during AM peak hours. Traffic then distributes to Park Avenue and Grove Street, with the majority exiting the neighborhood by making a left turn onto Park Avenue and traveling to points north of the study area. The pattern repeats in the opposite direction as well, although no turn prohibitions are violated in the Park Avenue to Salisbury Street direction.

Analysis of trip patterns also shows that the number of trips cutting through the neighborhood is comparable or greater than the number who choose to instead stay on Salisbury Street and turn left onto Park Avenue (and vice versa).



IMAGE 5 STREETLIGHT ORIGIN/DESTINATION IMMEDIATELY OUTSIDE THE MONTVALE HISTORIC DISTRICT

#### **Crash Summaries**

In order to identify crash trends and safety characteristics for the study area, crash reports were obtained from MassDOT Crash Database for the latest five-year period available. The reported accidents are outlined below in **Table 3**. The **Appendix** contains crash data from MassDOT.

Eleven crashes were reported in the MassDOT Crash database for the study for the latest fiveyear period available from 2018 to 2022. Out of those eleven crashes, one occurred at the intersection of Sagamore and Whitman, though the roadway surface condition was listed as ice and was attributed for crash. All remaining crashes took place at mid-block locations. No crashes were reported on Montvale or Waconet.

The crash data do not indicate an elevated level of collisions, however, the volume of traffic cutting through the neighborhood on residential streets is of concern and elevates the potential for crashes, particularly with vulnerable roadway users such as pedestrians.

#### Table 3 MassDOT Crash Summary

	Monadnock	<u>Sagamore</u>	<u>Whitman</u>
Data Category			
Year:			
2018		4	
2019		1	
2020		1	
2021		1	1
2022	2	<u>1</u>	_
Total	2	8	1
Туре:			
Angle		2	
Rear-End	1	1	
Head-On			1
Sideswipe-Same		2	
Direction		5	
Sideswipe-Opposite	1	1	
Direction	Ŧ	T	
Unknown/Other		1	
Event:			
Collision with			
Pedestrian			
Collision with Bicycle			
Collision with Moped	_	_	
Collision with Vehicle	2	3	
Collision with Parked		5	
Callisian with Ohiast			4
Collision with Object			1
Severity:	1	E	1
P. Danage Only	1	5	I
Non-Falai injury Eatality	T		
I atanty Unknown/Other		2	
Conditions:		5	
Dry	2	5	1
Wet	L	5	-
Snow/Ice		2	
Other/Unreported		1	
Time:		-	
7:00 AM to 9 AM	1	1	1
4:00 PM to 6 PM			
Rest of Day	1	7	

#### Traffic Signal Analysis for Salisbury Rd at Park Ave Intersection

Cut-through traffic occurs when travelers perceive an advantage for using the cut through route. Often the cut through route offers a travel time advantage, but drivers may at times choose a slower route to avoid some other perceived impediment such as congestion, a safety concern, etc.

Therefore, optimizing the efficient and safe operation of the Salisbury Street & Park Avenue intersection is an important aspect of addressing cut through traffic in the Montvale neighborhood. DTM staff engaged a transportation engineering consultant, TEC, to analyze the operations of the traffic signal at Salisbury and Park to determine if operational or timing related changes could reduce delay or otherwise improve the safe flow of traffic for the Salisbury approach to decrease the desire to cut through the Montvale Historic District neighborhood. This memo is attached as Appendix A.

#### Conclusion

Despite the posted NO LEFT TURN 7AM TO 9AM, the traffic study indicates a high level of cutthrough traffic being generated by areas to the west and southwest of the neighborhood going to and from points to the east and north. Roadways classified as Local Roads generally expect to accommodate fewer than 1000 vehicle trips per day, but the reported traffic volumes are quadruple that amount on Monadnock and Sagamore, which is more typical of urban collector roadways.

This cut-through traffic is generally traveling at a higher than desired speeds for a residential neighborhood, particularly on Monadnock and Waconah where the 85<sup>th</sup> percentile speeds are 8 to 14 mph above the statutory speed limits. The result is that the safety and access of neighborhood residents, particular vulnerable roadway users such as pedestrians, cyclists, school aged-children, and seniors is severely compromised.

The approach to address these issues cannot be taken on a street by street basis, but must involve a comprehensive approach at a neighborhood level; addressing one street in isolation will likely result in simply pushing the problem to the next street.

Any approach will affect both neighborhood residents and cut through drivers. The balance that needs to be achieved will result in a level of inconvenience that is tolerable to residents but sufficient to discourage cut through traffic,

DTM recommends a stepped approach. If initial actions are not sufficient, more drastic responses can be implemented. Following this approach, DTM recommends the following initial actions:

 Replace and supplement the existing NO TURN ON LEFT 7AM-9AM signage along Salisbury Road to improve visibility with supplemental signage and better placement.

- 2. Implement traffic calming within the neighborhood. A neighborhood meeting should be convened to review traffic calming options, but DTM's preliminary recommendations are as follows:
  - a. Install 1 permanent, MassDOT standard raised speed humps along Monadnock Road (between Salisbury Road and Sagamore Road).
  - b. Install 2 permanent, MassDOT standard raised speed humps along Whitman Road (between Salisbury Road and Sagamore Road).
  - c. Install 2 permanent, MassDOT standard raised speed humps along Montvale Road.
  - d. Install 1 permanent, MassDOT standard raised speed hump on Sagamore Road, where it levels off, at approximately 73/76 Sagamore Road.
- 3. Pending availability of funding, implement the following lane marking and traffic signal changes at the intersection of Salisbury Road and Park Avenue:
  - a. Modify the two Salisbury Street approaches to create an exclusive leftturn lane and a single through-right lane eastbound and westbound. This creates an opportunity to create a 5-foot unbuffered bike lanes with a 10foot left-turn lane and an 11-foot through lane for each Salisbury Street approach. This should improve safety by eliminating the abrupt lane drop east of the intersection and create opportunities for a "road diet" further west.
  - b. Eliminate the existing split-phased operation for the two Salisbury Street approaches, which currently operates inefficiently for the mix of through traffic and turning movements on each approach with the following enhancements. This will require a reconfiguration of the signal heads for the Salisbury Street approached to mount a left turn signal indication on the mast arms to create a "protected" movement. The supplemental signal head for the through lane can be mounted on the mast arm pole at a minimum of 10 feet above the sidewalk surface; and
  - c. Adjust the clearance times for each phase to reflect current guidance from MassDOT as documented in the attachments to this memorandum.
  - d. Reduce the overall cycle length to no more than 130 seconds, including the pedestrian phase. The City can retain the current dynamic max settings to adapt to acute demands on each approach.
  - e. Remove the left-turn arrow indication from the signal housings that are aligned with the middle through lane on the Park Avenue southbound

approach to avoid the unintended guidance that right turns are allowed from the inner lane.

f. Eradicate the existing pavement markings on the Salisbury Street approaches and reapply roadway striping for the recommended roadway cross-section with an exclusive left-turn lane and single through-right lane on each approach. Reapply the pavement markings on each Park Street approach.

Should these actions not prove sufficient, more drastic actions could be considered, including:

- 4. Installation of a center median on Salisbury Street to physically prevent left turns onto neighborhood streets. This approach would also eliminate left turns from the neighborhood onto Salisbury Street, but wouldn't address the Park Avenue to Salisbury cut-through movement.
- 5. Installation of additional speed humps on streets that experience diverted trips.
- 6. Installation of horizontal traffic calming features such as chicanes (can be employed on steeper gradients).
- 7. Install a Diagonal diverter at the intersection of Sagamore Road and Whitman Road. This treatment would prevent through trips on both streets, forcing cutthrough traffic to complete the entire Monadnock to Whitman loop, which would presumably be enough of a detriment to eliminate most, if not all, cut through traffic.



**IMAGE 6 DIAGONAL DIVERTER TREATMENT** 



311 Main Street, Suite 201 Worcester, MA 01608 774.701.0550

TheEngineeringCorp.com Create | Design | Innovate

### MEMORANDUM

TO: City of Worcester
 Dept. of Transportation & Mobility
 76 E. Worcester Street
 Worcester, MA 01604
 Attn: Stephen S. Rolle, P.E., Commissioner
 Todd M. Kirrane, Assistant Director

DATE: April 24, 2023

- **FROM:** Kevin R. Dandrade, PE, PTOE, Principal **PROJECT NO.:** T1353.01 Frankie Ann Schripsema, Project Engineer
  - RE: Traffic Signal Operations Assessment Park Avenue at Salisbury Street – Worcester, MA

#### INTRODUCTION

TEC, Inc. (TEC) has been retained by the City of Worcester Department of Transportation and Mobility ("DTM") to perform a preliminary Traffic Signal Operations Assessment at the intersection of Park Avenue and Salisbury Street in Worcester, Massachusetts. The traffic signal at Park Avenue and Salisbury Street lies under the jurisdiction of the City of Worcester.

TEC performed multiple field visits and evaluated the traffic operations under existing conditions with record traffic data provided by the DTM to determine what, if any, traffic signal timing or phasing adjustments may provide additional intersection capacity.

#### **EXISTING CONDITIONS**

#### **Geometry**

Park Avenue intersects Salisbury Street from the east and west to create a four-legged, signalized intersection. The Salisbury Street eastbound and westbound approaches consist of a shared left-through lane and shared through-right lane, with directional flow separated by a marked double-yellow centerline. Both approaches have No Turn on Red regulatory signs. The Park Avenue northbound and southbound approaches consists of a protected left-turn lane, one exclusive through lane, and one shared through-right lane, with directional flow separated by a raised median or double-yellow centerline. The intersection corners have smaller radii, which provide a more prominent pedestrian space, but meanwhile result in slower right-turning vehicle speeds.

Sidewalks are provided on both sides of all roadway approaches. However, the pedestrian curb ramps at the intersection do not appear to be compliant with current ADA/AAB regulations.

T:\T1353\T1353.01\Docs\Memos & Trans\T1353.01\_Park-Salisbury\_Memo\_first page.docx

**Engineering Tomorrow's Solutions Today.** 

TEC The Engineering Corp

Park Avenue at Salisbury Street, Worcester, MA Traffic Signal Operations Assessment April 24, 2023 Page 2 of 6

There are no formal bicycle accommodations on the roadway approaches. Land use adjacent to the intersection is primarily institutional and recreational in nature given the intersection's proximity to Institute Park and the WPI campus.

#### Traffic Signal Phasing / Operations

The existing traffic signal cabinet contains a Siemens M50 TS 2 Type 2 traffic signal controller and an Iteris Vantage video detection system. The Park Avenue left turn lanes operate in a protected-only phasing pattern whereby traffic may only enter the intersection on a green arrow indication. The Salisbury Street approaches operate under a split-phased sequence, during which all movements from each of those approaches may enter the intersection without conflicting traffic. However, these approaches do not currently have a left arrow indication within the housings to inform motorists that they may turn left under a "protected" movement. This has the potential to introduce inefficiencies for those motorists that are unfamiliar with the intersection because they may pause before turning left even though they have no conflicts. The Salisbury Street approaches have "No Turn on Red" regulatory signs present, but it does not appear to significantly affect the capacity of the approach given the volume of through traffic. The existing traffic signal timing and phasing information is provided within Attachment A.

#### **Traffic Volumes**

TEC obtained turning movement counts (TMCs) from DTM's Streetlight Data system at the study intersection during the weekday morning (8:00 AM – 9:00 AM) and weekday evening (4:15 PM – 5:15 PM) peak periods. The traffic count represents average operating conditions for each peak period from multiple data points in 2022. A detailed summary of the turning movement counts is provided within Attachment B.

#### **Field Observations**

TEC observed the following characteristics at the subject intersection:

- The existing traffic signal cabinet was recently updated with a video detection system. The system was efficiently detecting traffic on all four approaches with no apparent faults or false calls.
- The traffic signal controller was utilizing its "dynamic max" timings, which allows for a stepped increase in the maximum green time for that particular approach if the vehicular traffic consistently requires it to "max out". This was effectively managing traffic and skewed the timings, in a temporary fashion, toward the more saturated approaches (e.g. Salisbury St eastbound in the morning and Salisbury Street westbound in the afternoon/evening). The queues were excessive, but they typically cleared within one cycle.
- One Opticom preemption detector was visible for the Salisbury Street westbound approach only.



Park Avenue at Salisbury Street, Worcester, MA Traffic Signal Operations Assessment April 24, 2023 Page 3 of 6

- Three of the four existing mast arms are an older Type 1 (truss-style) construction and are likely decades old. The mast arm base on the northwest corner of the intersection is visibly damaged and should be replaced. The mast arm on the northeast corner is a newer black-painted unit that incorporates the left-turn signal indication over the road for the northbound approach.
- The WALK indication on the southeast corner (facing west) is not currently functioning.
- During weekday morning peak hour, TEC witnessed long queues, particularly on the Salisbury Street eastbound approach. The use of the dynamic max setting allows the signal to adapt and generally clears the standing queue each cycle length. TEC witnessed a few signal cycles that did not allow for the waiting traffic to get through the signal in one cycle.



- TEC observed several cycles where motorists were primarily using the inner through lane on Salisbury Street eastbound and it resulted in "lane imbalance". For those isolated cycles, the queue was not fully processed in one cycle.
- During the evening peak hour, TEC observed long queues on the Salisbury Street westbound and Park Avenue Southbound approaches.
- During periods of multiple successive activations of the exclusive pedestrian phase, the cycle length becomes longer and traffic has more time to queue. TEC observed that the pedestrian phase was activated approximately every-other cycle, on average.

#### Alternative Lane Use & Phasing - Intersection Capacity Analysis

At DTM's request, TEC performed limited capacity analysis for alternative lane use and phasing alternatives for the subject intersection to verify whether it would yield lower overall delays and queuing. TEC evaluated the following scenarios:

1. Optimized timings using the existing phasing;



Park Avenue at Salisbury Street, Worcester, MA Traffic Signal Operations Assessment April 24, 2023 Page 4 of 6

2. Modification of the Salisbury Street approaches to create an exclusive left-turn lane and a single through-right lane eastbound and westbound.

During the weekday morning peak hour period (the higher of the two peak periods), Scenario 2 provided the lowest overall vehicle delay at approximately 64 seconds per vehicle. Scenario 1 was less efficient and resulted in a delay of at least 165 seconds per vehicle. Scenario 2 also results in a significantly more efficient eastbound left-turn operation with approximate vehicle delay at 66 seconds, compared to Scenario 1's delay at approximately 256 seconds. Based on TEC's field observations and the capacity analysis, TEC recommends that the City implement Scenario 2, creating an exclusive left-turn lane and a single through-right lane for each Salisbury Street approach.

TEC also analyzed the elimination of the exclusive pedestrian phase in lieu of concurrent pedestrian operations with a Leading Pedestrian Interval (LPI), which allows for an early start of the pedestrian movement for each adjacent approach. This case operates with optimal efficiency.

The detailed intersection capacity and queue analysis worksheets for existing conditions and each scenario are provided in Attachment C.

#### **Traffic Signal Clearance Timings**

TEC has provided the Pedestrian Clearance Worksheet and Traffic Signal Clearance Worksheet within Attachment D.

Park Avenue at Salisbury Street, Worcester, MA Traffic Signal Operations Assessment April 24, 2023 Page 5 of 6



### Intersection Capacity and Queue Analysis Summary

						Scer	nario 1			Sce	nario 2	
		Existing	Condition	าร	Opti	mized Exis	sting Cor	nditions	Ex	clusive L	eft Con	ditions
Intersection / Lane Group	<b>V/C</b> <sup>(a)</sup>	Delay <sup>(b)</sup>	LOS <sup>(c)</sup>	Queue <sup>(d)</sup>	V/C	Delay	LOS	Queue	V/C	Delay	LOS	Queue
Park Avenue / Salisbury Street												
Weekday Morning Peak Period												
Salisbury Street EBL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.85	66.2	Е	134/313
Salisbury Street EBT	3.34	289.6	F	408/611	3.55	256.3	F	422/623	1.01	71.2	Е	392/734
Salisbury Street WBL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.02	147.3	F	64/167
Salisbury Street WBT	1.47	277.0	F	194/340	1.30	203.7	F	191/337	0.69	39.1	D	189/355
Park Avenue NBL	0.84	97.2	F	52/154	0.89	114.1	F	55/161	0.80	84.2	F	49/126
Park Avenue NBT	1.08	98.4	F	293/532	1.18	142.5	F	351/583	0.99	69.2	Е	276/500
Park Avenue SBL	0.68	67.4	E	42/119	0.72	76.9	E	45/125	0.86	106.9	F	40/116
Park Avenue SBT	0.74	43.9	D	183/291	0.82	51.5	D	203/326	0.72	41.3	D	178/285
Overall Intersection	1.23	171.0	F	-	1.22	165.2	F	-	0.95	64.1	Е	-
Weekday Evening Peak Period												
Salisbury Street EBL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.70	68.6	Е	42/98
Salisbury Street EBT	1.61	355.5	F	201/380	1.12	136.9	F	135/300	0.60	36.6	D	151/359
Salisbury Street WBL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.73	57.7	Е	95/184
Salisbury Street WBT	1.29	189.9	F	455/664	1.30	190.4	F	412/595	1.27	169.4	F	573/899
Park Avenue NBL	1.11	174.4	F	116/306	1.43	296.1	F	130/300	1.14	173.1	F	95/264
Park Avenue NBT	0.57	37.8	D	214/361	0.68	40.9	D	210/338	0.66	36.7	D	186/313
Park Avenue SBL	0.66	75.1	Е	45/107	0.84	112.9	F	41/126	0.78	87.9	F	36/114
Park Avenue SBT	0.91	55.9	Е	359/653	1.08	98.0	F	350/655	1.09	99.1	F	320/620
Overall Intersection	1.08	132.6	F	-	1.12	127.7	F	-	1.08	98.2	F	-

<sup>a</sup> Volume-to-capacity ratio

<sup>b</sup>Delay expressed in seconds per vehicle (average)

<sup>c</sup> Level of service

<sup>d</sup> 50<sup>th</sup> / 95<sup>th</sup> Percentile Queue (feet)



Park Avenue at Salisbury Street, Worcester, MA Traffic Signal Operations Assessment April 24, 2023 Page 6 of 6

#### CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

TEC examined the traffic signal operations at the intersection of Park Avenue at Salisbury Street in Worcester, Massachusetts. In general terms, the current traffic signal phasing operates with degraded efficiency for vehicular traffic. TEC offers the following recommendations to improve intersection capacity and other related traffic operations in the vicinity of the traffic signal:

- Modify the two Salisbury Street approaches to create an exclusive left-turn lane and a single through-right lane eastbound and westbound. This creates an opportunity to create a 5-foot unbuffered bike lanes with a 10-foot left-turn lane and an 11-foot through lane for each Salisbury Street approach. This should improve safety by eliminating the abrupt lane drop east of the intersection and create opportunities for a "road diet" further west.
- 2. Eliminate the existing split-phased operation for the two Salisbury Street approaches, which currently operates inefficiently for the mix of through traffic and turning movements on each approach with the following enhancements. This will require a reconfiguration of the signal heads for the Salisbury Street approached to mount a left-turn signal indication on the mast arms to create a "protected" movement. The supplemental signal head for the through lane can be mounted on the mast arm pole at a minimum of 10 feet above the sidewalk surface; and
- 3. Adjust the clearance times for each phase to reflect current guidance from MassDOT as documented in the attachments to this memorandum.
- 4. Reduce the overall cycle length to no more than 130 seconds, including the pedestrian phase. The City can retain the current dynamic max settings to adapt to acute demands on each approach.
- 5. Remove the left-turn arrow indication from the signal housings that are aligned with the middle through lane on the Park Avenue southbound approach to avoid the unintended guidance that right turns are allowed from the inner lane.
- 6. Eradicate the existing pavement markings on the Salisbury Street approaches and reapply roadway striping for the recommended roadway cross-section with an exclusive left-turn lane and single through-right lane on each approach. Reapply the pavement markings on each Park Street approach.

We are available to assist the City with other design or field operations assistance to perform timing adjustments as you see fit. Please reach out to us with any questions regarding this assessment at (774) 701-0550 or Kevin's mobile number at (508) 868-5104. Thank you for your consideration.



#### **Engineering Tomorrow's Solutions Today.**

# Attachment A

Traffic Signal Inventory

Traffic Signal Invento	nry		
Project:	Park Street / Salisbury Street Traffic Signal Assessment	Job #:	T1353.01
Location:	Park Ave at Salisbury Street	Eval Date:	Wednesday, April 5, 2023
Analyst:	TEC Inc. / KRD, FAS	Municipality:	Worcester, Massachusetts
	Description		
Phase 1	Park Avenue SB-L		
Phase 2	Park Avenue NB		
Phase 3	Salisbury Street EB		
Phase 4	Salisbury Street WB		
Phase 5	Park Avenue NB-L		
Phase 6	Park Avenue SB		
Phase 7	XXX		
Phase 8	XXX		
Phase 9	Exclusive Pedestrian		

Notes:
new video detection equipment

no coordination data programmed in controller
Opticom pre-emption detector for Salisbury WB only
Park Avenue queues clear in cycle
Salisbury WB queues clear most cycles
northwest corner mast arm pole has significant damage
no protected left indicators for Salisbury EB & WB
Salisbury EB approach (heading Park NB) walking indicator light out
No Turn on Red on Salisbury EB
should check vertical clearance of traffic heads at Salisbury EB
skewed offset on Salisbury WB approach

	Phase #										
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		
Minimum Green	6	6	6	6	6	6	-	-			
Passage	2	3	2	2	2	3	-	-			
Max 1	10	31	39	20	10	31	-	-			
Max 2	15	45	20	40	15	45	-	-			
Yellow	3	3	3	3	3	3	-	-	3		
Red	2	2	2	2	2	2	-	-	2		
Recall	Off	Soft	Off	Off	Off	Soft	-	-	Off		
Detectors	Lock	Non-Lock	Non-Lock	Non-Lock	Lock	Non-Lock	-	-	Lock		
Walk							-	-	7		
Flash Don't Walk							-	-	15		
Don't Walk							-	-	1		
Dynamic Max Steps		5	5	5		5	-	-			
Dynamic Max		15	15	20		15	-	-			
Phase Sequence	#Ø1/Ø5 to Ø2	2/Ø6 (or Ø5/Ø	02 or Ø1/Ø6)	to Ø3 to Ø4 to	ø9						

Note: Any phase not called will be skipped.

## Attachment B

Turning Movement Counts (TMCs)

Weekday, Peak AM	8:00 AM t	:o 9:00 AN	1										ĺ
	Park N (Southbound)		Salisbury E (Westbound)			Park	Park S (Northbound)			Salisbury W (Eastbound)			
	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	ĺ
Hourly Total	55	480	36	78	250	28	68	680	80	209	510	45	ĺ
PHF	0.84	0.85	0.81	0.77	0.84	0.65	0.85	0.86	0.91	0.92	0.83	0.75	ĺ
Approach Total		571			356			828			764		ĺ
												Int Total	

Weekday, Peak PM	4:15 PM t	o 5:15 PM											[
	Park N	Park N (Southbound) Salisbury E (Westbound)		Park	S (Northbo	ound)	Salisbury W (Eastbound)			Í			
	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Í
Hourly Total	55	708	138	141	610	33	128	528	60	50	232	25	[
PHF	0.94	0.9	0.74	0.89	0.81	0.93	0.87	0.88	0.93	0.74	0.91	0.62	[
Approach Total		901			784			716			307		ĺ
												Int Total	

# Attachment C

Capacity and Queue Analysis Worksheets

Existing Conditions Report

#### Lanes, Volumes, Timings 3: Park Avenue & Salisbury Street

	٦	-	$\mathbf{F}$	1	+	•	•	Ť	1	1	Ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		ፈጉ			đ þ		۲.	<b>41</b> 2		٦ ۲	<b>4</b> 16	
Traffic Volume (vph)	209	510	45	78	250	28	68	680	80	55	480	36
Future Volume (vph)	209	510	45	78	250	28	68	680	80	55	480	36
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)	0		0	0		0	125		0	125		0
Storage Lanes	0		0	0		0	1		0	1		0
Taper Length (ft)	25			25			25			25		
Lane Util. Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	0.95	0.95
Frt		0.990			0.985			0.985			0.989	
Flt Protected		0.988			0.989		0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	3462	0	0	3448	0	1770	3486	0	1770	3500	0
Flt Permitted		0.551			0.646		0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	1931	0	0	2252	0	1770	3486	0	1770	3500	0
Right Turn on Red			No			No			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)								8			6	
Link Speed (mph)		30			30			35			35	
Link Distance (ft)		500			500			500			500	
Travel Time (s)		11.4			11.4			9.7			9.7	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.83	0.75	0.77	0.84	0.65	0.85	0.86	0.91	0.84	0.85	0.81
Adj. Flow (vph)	227	614	60	101	298	43	80	791	88	65	565	44
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	901	0	0	442	0	80	879	0	65	609	0
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Lane Alignment	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right
Median Width(ft)		0	Ŭ		0	•		12	Ŭ		12	Ū
Link Offset(ft)		0			0			0			0	
Crosswalk Width(ft)		16			16			16			16	
Two way Left Turn Lane												
Headway Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Turning Speed (mph)	15		9	15		9	15		9	15		9
Number of Detectors	1	2		1	2		1	2		1	2	
Detector Template	Left	Thru		Left	Thru		Left	Thru		Left	Thru	
Leading Detector (ft)	20	100		20	100		20	100		20	100	
Trailing Detector (ft)	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Detector 1 Position(ft)	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Detector 1 Size(ft)	20	6		20	6		20	6		20	6	
Detector 1 Type	CI+Ex	CI+Ex		CI+Ex	CI+Ex		CI+Ex	CI+Ex		CI+Ex	CI+Ex	
Detector 1 Channel												
Detector 1 Extend (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Detector 1 Queue (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Detector 1 Delay (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Detector 2 Position(ft)		94			94			94			94	
Detector 2 Size(ft)		6			6			6			6	
Detector 2 Type		CI+Ex			Cl+Ex			CI+Ex			CI+Ex	
Detector 2 Channel												
Detector 2 Extend (s)		0.0			0.0			0.0			0.0	
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Prot	NA		Prot	NA	
Protected Phases		3			4		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases	3			4								

2022 Existing Conditions AM Existing Conditions 8:00 am 01/01/2022 Weekday Morning Peak Hour TEC, Inc. / FAS

Lane Group	Ø9
Lane Configurations	
Traffic Volume (vph)	
Future Volume (vph)	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	
Storage Length (ft)	
Storage Lanes	
Taper Length (ft)	
Lane Util. Factor	
Frt	
Flt Protected	
Satd. Flow (prot)	
Flt Permitted	
Satd. Flow (perm)	
Right Turn on Red	
Satd. Flow (RTOR)	
Link Speed (mph)	
Link Distance (ft)	
Travel Time (s)	
Peak Hour Factor	
Adj. Flow (vph)	
Shared Lane Traffic (%)	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	
Enter Blocked Intersection	
Median Width(ft)	
Two way Left Turn Lane	
Headway Factor	
Turning Speed (mpn)	
Number of Detectors	
Leading Detector (ft)	
Trailing Detector (ft)	
Detector 1 Desition(ff)	
Detector 1 Sizo(ft)	
Detector 1 Size(ii)	
Detector 1 Channel	
Detector 1 Extend (s)	
Detector 1 Queue (s)	
Detector 1 Delay (s)	
Detector 2 Position(ft)	
Detector 2 Size(ft)	
Detector 2 Type	
Detector 2 Channel	
Detector 2 Extend (s)	
Protected Phases	9
Permitted Phases	

2022 Existing Conditions AM Existing Conditions 8:00 am 01/01/2022 Weekday Morning Peak Hour TEC, Inc. / FAS

Synchro 11 Report Page 2

#### Lanes, Volumes, Timings 3: Park Avenue & Salisbury Street

04/	14	/20	23
-----	----	-----	----

	٦	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	4	←	*	1	t	۲	1	ţ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Detector Phase	3	3		4	4		5	2		1	6	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0		6.0	10.0		6.0	10.0	
Minimum Split (s)	11.0	11.0		11.0	11.0		11.0	15.0		11.0	15.0	
Total Split (s)	39.0	39.0		20.0	20.0		11.0	31.0		11.0	31.0	
Total Split (%)	30.2%	30.2%		15.5%	15.5%		8.5%	24.0%		8.5%	24.0%	
Maximum Green (s)	34.0	34.0		15.0	15.0		6.0	26.0		6.0	26.0	
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)		0.0			0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)		5.0			5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lead		Lag	Lag		Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	
Vehicle Extension (s)	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	3.0		2.0	3.0	
Recall Mode	None	None		None	None		None	Min		None	Min	
Walk Time (s)												
Flash Dont Walk (s)												
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)												
Act Effct Green (s)		34.3			15.1		6.1	26.2		6.1	26.2	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.31			0.14		0.06	0.24		0.06	0.24	
v/c Ratio		3.34dl			1.43		0.82	1.05		0.67	0.72	
Control Delay		260.8			245.1		106.0	85.2		85.2	45.3	
Queue Delay		0.0			0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Delay		260.8			245.1		106.0	85.2		85.2	45.3	
LOS		F			F		F	F		F	D	
Approach Delay		260.8			245.1			87.0			49.1	
Approach LOS		F			F			F			D	
Intersection Summary												
Area Type:	Other											
Cycle Length: 129												
Actuated Cycle Length: 109	9.8											
Natural Cycle: 150												
Control Type: Actuated-Un	coordinated	1										
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.49												
Intersection Signal Delay: 1	154.5			Ir	ntersection	n LOS: F						
Intersection Capacity Utilization	ation 74.7%	)		IC	CU Level o	of Service	D					
Analysis Period (min) 15												
		11 1. 1.										

dl Defacto Left Lane. Recode with 1 though lane as a left lane.

Splits and Phases: 3: Park Avenue & Salisbury Street

Ø1	<sup>†</sup> ø₂	<u> </u>	₩ø4	₩∎ø9
11 s	31 s	39 s	20 s	28 s
↑ø5	↓ Ø6			
11 s	31 s			

Lane Group	Ø9	
Detector Phase		
Switch Phase		
Minimum Initial (s)	6.0	
Minimum Split (s)	22.0	
Total Split (s)	28.0	
Total Split (%)	22%	
Maximum Green (s)	23.0	
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)		
Total Lost Time (s)		
Lead/Lag		
Lead-Lag Optimize?		
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	
Recall Mode	None	
Walk Time (s)	7.0	
Flash Dont Walk (s)	10.0	
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)	15	
Act Effct Green (s)		
Actuated g/C Ratio		
v/c Ratio		
Control Delay		
Queue Delay		
Total Delay		
LOS		
Approach Delay		
Approach LOS		
Intersection Summary		

#### Queues 3: Park Avenue & Salisbury Street

	-	-	1	1	1	↓
Lane Group	EBT	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Lane Group Flow (vph)	901	442	80	879	65	609
v/c Ratio	3.34dl	1.43	0.82	1.05	0.67	0.72
Control Delay	260.8	245.1	106.0	85.2	85.2	45.3
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	260.8	245.1	106.0	85.2	85.2	45.3
Queue Length 50th (ft)	~408	~194	52	293	42	183
Queue Length 95th (ft)	#611	#340	#154	#532	#119	291
Internal Link Dist (ft)	420	420		420		420
Turn Bay Length (ft)			125		125	
Base Capacity (vph)	603	310	97	839	97	841
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	1.49	1.43	0.82	1.05	0.67	0.72
Intersection Summary						

Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

dl Defacto Left Lane. Recode with 1 though lane as a left lane.

#### HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 3: Park Avenue & Salisbury Street

	≯	-	$\mathbf{F}$	4	←	•	•	Ť	1	1	Ļ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		đ þ			đ þ		٦	<b>∱1</b> }		۲	<b>4</b> 12	
Traffic Volume (vph)	209	510	45	78	250	28	68	680	80	55	480	36
Future Volume (vph)	209	510	45	78	250	28	68	680	80	55	480	36
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)		5.0			5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Lane Util. Factor		0.95			0.95		1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95	
Frt		0.99			0.99		1.00	0.98		1.00	0.99	
Flt Protected		0.99			0.99		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)		3460			3448		1770	3486		1770	3501	
Flt Permitted		0.55			0.65		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)		1930			2252		1770	3486		1770	3501	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.83	0.75	0.77	0.84	0.65	0.85	0.86	0.91	0.84	0.85	0.81
Adj. Flow (vph)	227	614	60	101	298	43	80	791	88	65	565	44
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	5	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	901	0	0	442	0	80	873	0	65	604	0
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Prot	NA		Prot	NA	
Protected Phases		3			4		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases	3	-		4			-			-	-	
Actuated Green, G (s)	-	34.3			15.1		6.1	26.2		6.1	26.2	
Effective Green, g (s)		34.3			15.1		6.1	26.2		6.1	26.2	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.30			0.13		0.05	0.23		0.05	0.23	
Clearance Time (s)		5.0			5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)		2.0			2.0		2.0	3.0		2.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		586			301		95	809		95	813	
v/s Ratio Prot		000			001		c0 05	c0 25		0.04	0.17	
v/s Ratio Perm		c0 47			c0 20		00.00	00.20		0.01	0.11	
v/c Ratio		3.34dl			1.47		0.84	1.08		0.68	0.74	
Uniform Delay d1		39.2			48.9		52.9	43.3		52.4	40.2	
Progression Factor		1 00			1 00		1 00	1 00		1 00	1 00	
Incremental Delay d2		250.4			228.1		44.3	55 1		15.0	37	
Delay (s)		289.6			277.0		97.2	98.4		67.4	43.9	
Level of Service		F			F		F	F		E	D	
Approach Delay (s)		289.6			277.0		•	98.3		_	46.2	
Approach LOS		F			F			F			D	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			171.0		CM 2000	Level of 9	Service		F			
HCM 2000 Volume to Canaci	ty ratio		1 23	11		Level OI			1			
Actuated Cycle Length (s)	ly fallo		112.8	ç	um of lost	t time (c)			25.0			
Intersection Canacity Litilizati	n		7/ 7%			of Service			23.0 D			
			14.1/0	IC.					U			
d Defecte Left Lene Dece	do with 1	though la		oft land								
		alough la		eit ialle.								

c Critical Lane Group

### Lanes, Volumes, Timings 3: Park Avenue & Salisbury Street

	٦	-	$\mathbf{F}$	4	+	•	•	Ť	1	1	Ļ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		415			đ b		ሻ	<b>≜t</b> ⊾		5	<b>≜</b> 16	
Traffic Volume (vph)	50	232	25	141	610	33	128	528	60	55	708	138
Future Volume (vph)	50	232	25	141	610	33	128	528	60	55	708	138
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)	0		0	0		0	125		0	125		0
Storage Lanes	0		0	0		0	1		0	1		0
Taper Length (ft)	25			25			25			25		
Lane Util. Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	0.95	0.95
Frt		0.983			0.994			0.985			0.971	
Flt Protected		0.991			0.992		0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	3448	0	0	3490	0	1770	3486	0	1770	3437	0
Flt Permitted		0.578			0.797		0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	2011	0	0	2804	0	1770	3486	0	1770	3437	0
Right Turn on Red			No			No			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)								8			19	
Link Speed (mph)		30			30			30			30	
Link Distance (ft)		500			500			500			500	
Travel Time (s)		11.4			11.4			11.4			11.4	
Peak Hour Factor	0.74	0.91	0.62	0.89	0.81	0.93	0.87	0.88	0.93	0.94	0.90	0.74
Adj. Flow (vph)	68	255	40	158	753	35	147	600	65	59	787	186
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	363	0	0	946	0	147	665	0	59	973	0
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Lane Alignment	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right
Median Width(ft)		0			0			12			12	
Link Offset(ft)		0			0			0			0	
Crosswalk Width(ft)		16			16			16			16	
Two way Left Turn Lane												
Headway Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Turning Speed (mph)	15		9	15		9	15		9	15		9
Number of Detectors	1	2		1	2		1	2		1	2	
Detector Template	Left	Thru		Left	Thru		Left	Thru		Left	Thru	
Leading Detector (ft)	20	100		20	100		20	100		20	100	
Trailing Detector (ft)	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Detector 1 Position(ft)	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Detector 1 Size(ft)	20	6		20	6		20	6		20	6	
Detector 1 Type	CI+Ex	Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex	CI+Ex	
Detector 1 Channel												
Detector 1 Extend (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Detector 1 Queue (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Detector 1 Delay (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Detector 2 Position(ft)		94			94			94			94	
Detector 2 Size(ft)		6			6			6			6	
Detector 2 Type		CI+Ex			CI+Ex			CI+Ex			CI+Ex	
Detector 2 Channel												
Detector 2 Extend (s)	-	0.0		P	0.0		<b>F</b> .	0.0		<b>F</b> (	0.0	
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Prot	NA		Prot	NA	
Protected Phases		3			4		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases	3			4								

2022 Existing Conditions PM Existing Conditions 4:15 pm 01/01/2022 Weekday Evening Peak Hour TEC, Inc. / FAS

Synchro 11 Report Page 1

Lane Group	Ø9
Lane Configurations	
Traffic Volume (vph)	
Future Volume (vph)	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	
Storage Length (ft)	
Storage Lanes	
Taper Length (ft)	
Lane Util. Factor	
Frt	
Flt Protected	
Satd. Flow (prot)	
Flt Permitted	
Satd. Flow (perm)	
Right Turn on Red	
Satd. Flow (RTOR)	
Link Speed (mph)	
Link Distance (ft)	
Travel Time (s)	
Peak Hour Factor	
Adj. Flow (vph)	
Shared Lane Traffic (%)	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	
Enter Blocked Intersection	
Lane Alignment	
Median Width(ft)	
Link Offset(ft)	
Crosswalk Width(ft)	
Two way Left Turn Lane	
Headway Factor	
Turning Speed (mph)	
Number of Detectors	
Detector l'emplate	
Leading Detector (ft)	
Trailing Detector (ft)	
Detector 1 Position(ft)	
Detector 1 Size(II)	
Detector 1 Type	
Detector I Channel	
Detector 1 Extend (S)	
Detector 1 Delev (s)	
Detector 1 Detay (S)	
Detector 2 Size(#)	
Detector 2 Type	
Detector 2 Channel	
Detector 2 Extend (s)	
Protected Phases	9
Permitted Phases	

2022 Existing Conditions PM Existing Conditions 4:15 pm 01/01/2022 Weekday Evening Peak Hour TEC, Inc. / FAS

Synchro 11 Report Page 2

#### Lanes, Volumes, Timings 3: Park Avenue & Salisbury Street

04/	14	/20	23
-----	----	-----	----

	٦	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	4	←	•	1	Ť	۲	1	ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Detector Phase	3	3		4	4		5	2		1	6	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0	
Minimum Split (s)	11.0	11.0		11.0	11.0		11.0	11.0		11.0	11.0	
Total Split (s)	20.0	20.0		40.0	40.0		15.0	45.0		15.0	45.0	
Total Split (%)	13.5%	13.5%		27.0%	27.0%		10.1%	30.4%		10.1%	30.4%	
Maximum Green (s)	15.0	15.0		35.0	35.0		10.0	40.0		10.0	40.0	
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)		0.0			0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)		5.0			5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lead		Lag	Lag		Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	
Vehicle Extension (s)	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	3.0		2.0	3.0	
Recall Mode	None	None		None	None		None	Min		None	Min	
Walk Time (s)												
Flash Dont Walk (s)												
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)												
Act Effct Green (s)		15.1			35.3		10.1	44.7		8.2	40.4	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.12			0.27		0.08	0.34		0.06	0.31	
v/c Ratio		1.56			1.25		1.08	0.56		0.53	0.91	
Control Delay		309.5			163.0		155.7	39.8		79.9	55.9	
Queue Delay		0.0			0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Delay		309.5			163.0		155.7	39.8		79.9	55.9	
LOS		F			F		F	D		E	E	
Approach Delay		309.5			163.0			60.8			57.3	
Approach LOS		F			F			E			E	
Intersection Summary												
Area Type:	Other											
Cycle Length: 148												
Actuated Cycle Length: 130	).8											
Natural Cycle: 150												
Control Type: Actuated-Und	coordinated											
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.56												
Intersection Signal Delay: 1	18.9			lr	ntersectior	LOS: F						
Intersection Capacity Utilization	ation 78.4%			10	CU Level o	of Service	e D					
Analysis Period (min) 15												

#### Splits and Phases: 3: Park Avenue & Salisbury Street

Ø1	Ø2	 Ø3	₩ Ø4	₩AØ9
15 s	45 s	20 s	40 s	28 s
▲ Ø5	<b>↓</b> Ø6			
15 s	45 s			

Lane Group	Ø9	
Detector Phase		
Switch Phase		
Minimum Initial (s)	6.0	
Minimum Split (s)	28.0	
Total Split (s)	28.0	
Total Split (%)	19%	
Maximum Green (s)	23.0	
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)		
Total Lost Time (s)		
Lead/Lag		
Lead-Lag Optimize?		
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	
Recall Mode	None	
Walk Time (s)	7.0	
Flash Dont Walk (s)	15.0	
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)	15	
Act Effct Green (s)		
Actuated g/C Ratio		
v/c Ratio		
Control Delay		
Queue Delay		
Total Delay		
LOS		
Approach Delay		
Approach LOS		
Intersection Summary		

04/14/2023
	-	-	1	1	1	Ŧ
Lane Group	EBT	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Lane Group Flow (vph)	363	946	147	665	59	973
v/c Ratio	1.56	1.25	1.08	0.56	0.53	0.91
Control Delay	309.5	163.0	155.7	39.8	79.9	55.9
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	309.5	163.0	155.7	39.8	79.9	55.9
Queue Length 50th (ft)	~201	~455	116	214	45	359
Queue Length 95th (ft)	#380	#664	#306	361	107	#653
Internal Link Dist (ft)	420	420		420		420
Turn Bay Length (ft)			125		125	
Base Capacity (vph)	233	757	136	1195	136	1074
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	1.56	1.25	1.08	0.56	0.43	0.91
Internetion Common						

Intersection Summary ~

Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

# HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 3: Park Avenue & Salisbury Street

	۶	-	$\mathbf{r}$	∢	←	•	•	Ť	1	1	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4î b			ፋጉ		ሻ	<b>↑</b> ĵ≽		ሻ	<b>∱</b> î≽	
Traffic Volume (vph)	50	232	25	141	610	33	128	528	60	55	708	138
Future Volume (vph)	50	232	25	141	610	33	128	528	60	55	708	138
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)		5.0			5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Lane Util. Factor		0.95			0.95		1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95	
Frt		0.98			0.99		1.00	0.99		1.00	0.97	
Flt Protected		0.99			0.99		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)		3448			3490		1770	3487		1770	3438	
Flt Permitted		0.58			0.80		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)		2011			2806		1770	3487		1770	3438	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.74	0.91	0.62	0.89	0.81	0.93	0.87	0.88	0.93	0.94	0.90	0.74
Adj. Flow (vph)	68	255	40	158	753	35	147	600	65	59	787	186
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	13	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	363	0	0	946	0	147	660	0	59	960	0
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Prot	NA		Prot	NA	
Protected Phases		3			4		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases	3			4								
Actuated Green, G (s)		15.1			35.3		10.1	44.7		6.9	41.5	
Effective Green, g (s)		15.1			35.3		10.1	44.7		6.9	41.5	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.11			0.26		0.07	0.33		0.05	0.31	
Clearance Time (s)		5.0			5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)		2.0			2.0		2.0	3.0		2.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		225			734		132	1156		90	1058	
v/s Ratio Prot							c0.08	c0.19		0.03	c0.28	
v/s Ratio Perm		c0.18			c0.34							
v/c Ratio		1.61			1.29		1.11	0.57		0.66	0.91	
Uniform Delay, d1		59.9			49.8		62.4	37.1		62.8	44.8	
Progression Factor		1.00			1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2		295.6			140.1		112.1	0.7		12.3	11.1	
Delay (s)		355.5			189.9		174.4	37.8		75.1	55.9	
Level of Service		F			F		F	D		E	E	
Approach Delay (s)		355.5			189.9			62.6			57.0	
Approach LOS		F			F			E			E	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			132.6	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		F			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacit	y ratio		1.08									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			134.8	S	um of lost	t time (s)			25.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	on		78.4%	IC	CU Level of	of Service			D			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

c Critical Lane Group

Optimized Existing Conditions Report

	٦	-	$\mathbf{F}$	1	+	•	•	Ť	1	1	Ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		đ þ			đ þ		٦	¢β		<u>۲</u>	A12∍	
Traffic Volume (vph)	209	510	45	78	250	28	68	680	80	55	480	36
Future Volume (vph)	209	510	45	78	250	28	68	680	80	55	480	36
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)	0		0	0		0	125		0	125		0
Storage Lanes	0		0	0		0	1		0	1		0
Taper Length (ft)	25			25			25			25		
Lane Util. Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	0.95	0.95
Frt		0.990			0.985			0.985			0.989	
Flt Protected		0.988			0.989		0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	3462	0	0	3448	0	1770	3486	0	1770	3500	0
Flt Permitted		0.547			0.639		0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	1917	0	0	2228	0	1770	3486	0	1770	3500	0
Right Turn on Red			No			No			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)								8			5	
Link Speed (mph)		30			30			35			35	
Link Distance (ft)		500			500			500			500	
Travel Time (s)		11.4			11.4			9.7			9.7	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.83	0.75	0.77	0.84	0.65	0.85	0.86	0.91	0.84	0.85	0.81
Adj. Flow (vph)	227	614	60	101	298	43	80	791	88	65	565	44
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	901	0	0	442	0	80	879	0	65	609	0
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Lane Alignment	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right
Median Width(ft)		0			0			12			12	
Link Offset(ft)		0			0			0			0	
Crosswalk Width(ft)		16			16			16			16	
Two way Left Turn Lane												
Headway Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Turning Speed (mph)	15		9	15		9	15		9	15		9
Number of Detectors	1	2		1	2		1	2		1	2	
Detector Template	Left	Thru		Left	Thru		Left	Thru		Left	Thru	
Leading Detector (ft)	20	100		20	100		20	100		20	100	
Trailing Detector (ft)	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Detector 1 Position(ft)	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Detector 1 Size(ft)	20	6		20	6		20	6		20	6	
Detector 1 Type	CI+Ex	CI+Ex		Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		CI+Ex	CI+Ex		Cl+Ex	CI+Ex	
Detector 1 Channel												
Detector 1 Extend (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Detector 1 Queue (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Detector 1 Delay (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Detector 2 Position(ft)		94			94			94			94	
Detector 2 Size(ft)		6			6			6			6	
Detector 2 Type		CI+Ex			Cl+Ex			CI+Ex			CI+Ex	
Detector 2 Channel												
Detector 2 Extend (s)		0.0			0.0			0.0			0.0	
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Prot	NA		Prot	NA	
Protected Phases		3			4		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases	3			4								

2022 Existing Optimized Conditions AM Existing Conditions 8:00 am 01/01/2022 Weekday Morning Peak Hour TEC, Inc. / FAS

Lane Group	09
Lane Configurations	
Traffic Volume (vph)	
Future Volume (vph)	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	
Storage Length (ft)	
Storage Lanes	
Taper Length (ft)	
Lane Util. Factor	
Frt	
Flt Protected	
Satd. Flow (prot)	
Flt Permitted	
Satd. Flow (perm)	
Right Turn on Red	
Satd. Flow (RTOR)	
Link Speed (mph)	
Link Distance (ft)	
Travel Time (s)	
Peak Hour Factor	
Adj. Flow (vph)	
Shared Lane Traffic (%)	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	
Enter Blocked Intersection	
Lane Alignment	
Median Width(ft)	
Link Offset(ft)	
Crosswalk Width(ft)	
Two way Left Turn Lane	
Headway Factor	
Turning Speed (mpn)	
Number of Detectors	
Detector Template	
Leading Detector (ft)	
Trailing Detector (π)	
Detector 1 Position(II)	
Detector 1 Size(II)	
Detector 1 Type	
Detector 1 Channel	
Detector 1 Ousue (s)	
Detector 1 Delev (s)	
Detector 7 Detay (S)	
Detector 2 Size/ft)	
Detector 2 Size(II)	
Detector 2 Channel	
Detector 2 Extend (a)	
Protected Dhases	0
Dermitted Dhases	3

2022 Existing Optimized Conditions AM Existing Conditions 8:00 am 01/01/2022 Weekday Morning Peak Hour TEC, Inc. / FAS

	٦	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	4	←	*	1	t	۲	1	ţ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Detector Phase	3	3		4	4		5	2		1	6	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0		6.0	10.0		6.0	10.0	
Minimum Split (s)	11.0	11.0		11.0	11.0		11.0	15.0		11.0	15.0	
Total Split (s)	43.0	43.0		23.0	23.0		11.0	30.0		11.0	30.0	
Total Split (%)	33.3%	33.3%		17.8%	17.8%		8.5%	23.3%		8.5%	23.3%	
Maximum Green (s)	38.0	38.0		18.0	18.0		6.0	25.0		6.0	25.0	
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)		0.0			0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)		5.0			5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lead		Lag	Lag		Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	
Vehicle Extension (s)	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	3.0		2.0	3.0	
Recall Mode	None	None		None	None		None	Min		None	Min	
Walk Time (s)												
Flash Dont Walk (s)												
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)												
Act Effct Green (s)		38.3			18.2		6.1	25.2		6.1	25.2	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.33			0.16		0.05	0.22		0.05	0.22	
v/c Ratio		3.55dl			1.27		0.87	1.15		0.71	0.80	
Control Delay		230.5			182.0		119.1	122.7		93.5	52.5	
Queue Delay		0.0			0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Delay		230.5			182.0		119.1	122.7		93.5	52.5	
LOS		F			F		F	F		F	D	
Approach Delay		230.5			182.0			122.4			56.4	
Approach LOS		F			F			F			E	
Intersection Summary												
Area Type:	Other											
Cycle Length: 129												
Actuated Cycle Length: 11	5.8											
Natural Cycle: 150												
Control Type: Actuated-Un	coordinated	l										
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.42												
Intersection Signal Delay:	149.0			Ir	ntersection	1 LOS: F						
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation 74.7%	)		10	CU Level o	of Service	D					
Analysis Period (min) 15												
dl Defecte Left Lana Ba	oodo with 1	though lo	no oo o l	off long								

dl Defacto Left Lane. Recode with 1 though lane as a left lane.

Splits and Phases: 3: Park Avenue & Salisbury Street

Ø1	<b>1</b> ø₂		₩ø4	₩ <mark>₽</mark> Ø9
11 s	30 s	43 s	23 s	22 s
↑ø5	<b>↓</b> Ø6			
11 s	30 s			

Lane Group	Ø9	
Detector Phase		
Switch Phase		
Minimum Initial (s)	6.0	
Minimum Split (s)	22.0	
Total Split (s)	22.0	
Total Split (%)	17%	
Maximum Green (s)	17.0	
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)		
Total Lost Time (s)		
Lead/Lag		
Lead-Lag Optimize?		
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	
Recall Mode	None	
Walk Time (s)	7.0	
Flash Dont Walk (s)	10.0	
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)	15	
Act Effct Green (s)		
Actuated g/C Ratio		
v/c Ratio		
Control Delay		
Queue Delay		
Total Delay		
LOS		
Approach Delay		
Approach LOS		
Intersection Summary		

	-	+	1	1	1	Ŧ
Lane Group	EBT	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Lane Group Flow (vph)	901	442	80	879	65	609
v/c Ratio	3.55dl	1.27	0.87	1.15	0.71	0.80
Control Delay	230.5	182.0	119.1	122.7	93.5	52.5
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	230.5	182.0	119.1	122.7	93.5	52.5
Queue Length 50th (ft)	~422	~191	55	~351	45	203
Queue Length 95th (ft)	#623	#337	#161	#583	#125	#326
Internal Link Dist (ft)	420	420		420		420
Turn Bay Length (ft)			125		125	
Base Capacity (vph)	634	348	92	765	92	765
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	1.42	1.27	0.87	1.15	0.71	0.80
Intersection Summary						

Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

dl Defacto Left Lane. Recode with 1 though lane as a left lane.

# HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 3: Park Avenue & Salisbury Street

	≯	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	4	+	•	•	Ť	1	5	Ļ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		đ þ			đ þ		7	A1⊅		۲	A1⊅	
Traffic Volume (vph)	209	510	45	78	250	28	68	680	80	55	480	36
Future Volume (vph)	209	510	45	78	250	28	68	680	80	55	480	36
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)		5.0			5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Lane Util. Factor		0.95			0.95		1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95	
Frt		0.99			0.99		1.00	0.98		1.00	0.99	
Flt Protected		0.99			0.99		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)		3460			3448		1770	3486		1770	3501	
Flt Permitted		0.55			0.64		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)		1917			2229		1770	3486		1770	3501	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.83	0.75	0.77	0.84	0.65	0.85	0.86	0.91	0.84	0.85	0.81
Adj. Flow (vph)	227	614	60	101	298	43	80	791	88	65	565	44
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	4	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	901	0	0	442	0	80	873	0	65	605	0
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Prot	NA		Prot	NA	
Protected Phases		3			4		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases	3			4								
Actuated Green, G (s)		38.3			18.2		6.1	25.2		6.1	25.2	
Effective Green, g (s)		38.3			18.2		6.1	25.2		6.1	25.2	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.32			0.15		0.05	0.21		0.05	0.21	
Clearance Time (s)		5.0			5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)		2.0			2.0		2.0	3.0		2.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		617			341		90	738		90	742	
v/s Ratio Prot							c0.05	c0.25		0.04	0.17	
v/s Ratio Perm		c0.47			c0.20							
v/c Ratio		3.55dl			1.30		0.89	1.18		0.72	0.82	
Uniform Delay, d1		40.3			50.4		56.1	46.9		55.6	44.6	
Progression Factor		1.00			1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2		216.0			153.4		58.0	95.7		21.3	6.9	
Delay (s)		256.3			203.7		114.1	142.5		76.9	51.5	
Level of Service		F			F		F	F		E	D	
Approach Delay (s)		256.3			203.7			140.2			54.0	
Approach LOS		F			F			F			D	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			165.2	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		F			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity	/ ratio		1.22									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			118.9	S	um of lost	time (s)			25.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilizatio	n		74.7%	IC	U Level o	of Service			D			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
dl Defacto Left Lane. Recod	e with 1	though la	ne as a l	eft lane.								

c Critical Lane Group

	٦	-	$\mathbf{F}$	1	+	•	•	Ť	1	1	Ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		et þ			đ þ		ľ	A ₽		1	<b>↑</b> 1≽	
Traffic Volume (vph)	50	232	25	141	610	33	128	528	60	55	708	138
Future Volume (vph)	50	232	25	141	610	33	128	528	60	55	708	138
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)	0		0	0		0	125		0	125		0
Storage Lanes	0		0	0		0	1		0	1		0
Taper Length (ft)	25			25			25			25		
Lane Util. Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	0.95	0.95
Frt		0.983			0.994			0.985			0.971	
Flt Protected		0.991			0.992		0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	3448	0	0	3490	0	1770	3486	0	1770	3437	0
Flt Permitted		0.558			0.799		0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	1941	0	0	2811	0	1770	3486	0	1770	3437	0
Right Turn on Red			No			No			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)								8			21	
Link Speed (mph)		30			30			30			30	
Link Distance (ft)		500			500			500			500	
Travel Time (s)		11.4			11.4			11.4			11.4	
Peak Hour Factor	0.74	0.91	0.62	0.89	0.81	0.93	0.87	0.88	0.93	0.94	0.90	0.74
Adj. Flow (vph)	68	255	40	158	753	35	147	600	65	59	787	186
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	363	0	0	946	0	147	665	0	59	973	0
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Lane Alignment	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right
Median Width(ft)		0			0			12			12	
Link Offset(ft)		0			0			0			0	
Crosswalk Width(ft)		16			16			16			16	
Two way Left Turn Lane												
Headway Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Turning Speed (mph)	15		9	15		9	15		9	15		9
Number of Detectors	1	2		1	2		1	2		1	2	
Detector Template	Left	Thru		Left	Thru		Left	Thru		Left	Thru	
Leading Detector (ft)	20	100		20	100		20	100		20	100	
Trailing Detector (ft)	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Detector 1 Position(ft)	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Detector 1 Size(ft)	20	6		20	6		20	6		20	6	
Detector 1 Type	CI+Ex	CI+Ex		Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		CI+Ex	CI+Ex		Cl+Ex	CI+Ex	
Detector 1 Channel												
Detector 1 Extend (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Detector 1 Queue (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Detector 1 Delay (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Detector 2 Position(ft)		94			94			94			94	
Detector 2 Size(ft)		6			6			6			6	
Detector 2 Type		CI+Ex			Cl+Ex			CI+Ex			CI+Ex	
Detector 2 Channel												
Detector 2 Extend (s)		0.0			0.0			0.0			0.0	
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Prot	NA		Prot	NA	
Protected Phases		3			4		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases	3			4								

2022 Existing Optimized Conditions PM Existing Conditions 4:15 pm 01/01/2022 Weekday Evening Peak Hour TEC, Inc. / FAS

Lane Configurations Traffic Volume (vph) Future Volume (vph) Ideal Flow (vphp) Storage Length (ft) Storage Length (ft) Lane Util. Factor Frt Frt Fit Protected Satd. Flow (prot) Fit Permitted Satd. Flow (perm) Right Turn on Red Satd. Flow (RTOR) Link Speed (mph) Link Distance (ft) Travel Time (s) Peak Hour Factor Adj. Flow (vph) Shared Lane Traffic (%) Lane Group Flow (vph)
Traffic Volume (vph) Future Volume (vph) Ideal Flow (vphpl) Storage Length (ft) Storage Lanes Taper Length (ft) Lane Util. Factor Frt Fit Protected Satd. Flow (prot) Fit Permitted Satd. Flow (perm) Right Turn on Red Satd. Flow (RTOR) Link Distance (ft) Travel Time (s) Peak Hour Factor Adj. Flow (vph) Shared Lane Traffic (%) Lane Group Flow (vph)
Future Volume (vph) Ideal Flow (vphpl) Storage Length (ft) Storage Lanes Taper Length (ft) Lane Util. Factor Frt Fit Protected Satd. Flow (prot) Fit Permitted Satd. Flow (perm) Right Turn on Red Satd. Flow (perm) Right Turn on Red Satd. Flow (RTOR) Link Speed (mph) Link Distance (ft) Travel Time (s) Peak Hour Factor Adj. Flow (vph) Shared Lane Traffic (%) Lane Group Flow (vph)
Ideal Flow (vphpl) Storage Length (ft) Storage Lanes Taper Length (ft) Lane Util. Factor Frt Fit Protected Satd. Flow (prot) Fit Permitted Satd. Flow (perm) Right Turn on Red Satd. Flow (RTOR) Link Speed (mph) Link Distance (ft) Travel Time (s) Peak Hour Factor Adj. Flow (vph) Shared Lane Traffic (%) Lane Group Flow (vph)
Storage Length (ft) Storage Lanes Taper Length (ft) Lane Util. Factor Frt Flt Protected Satd. Flow (prot) Flt Permitted Satd. Flow (perm) Right Turn on Red Satd. Flow (RTOR) Link Speed (mph) Link Distance (ft) Travel Time (s) Peak Hour Factor Adj. Flow (vph) Shared Lane Traffic (%) Lane Group Flow (vph)
Storage Lanes Taper Length (ft) Lane Util. Factor Frt Flt Protected Satd. Flow (prot) Flt Permitted Satd. Flow (perm) Right Turn on Red Satd. Flow (RTOR) Link Speed (mph) Link Speed (mph) Link Distance (ft) Travel Time (s) Peak Hour Factor Adj. Flow (vph) Shared Lane Traffic (%) Lane Group Flow (vph)
Taper Length (ft) Lane Util. Factor Frt Flt Protected Satd. Flow (prot) Flt Permitted Satd. Flow (perm) Right Turn on Red Satd. Flow (perm) Link Speed (mph) Link Speed (mph) Link Distance (ft) Travel Time (s) Peak Hour Factor Adj. Flow (vph) Shared Lane Traffic (%) Lane Group Flow (vph)
Lane Util. Factor Frt Flt Protected Satd. Flow (prot) Flt Permitted Satd. Flow (perm) Right Turn on Red Satd. Flow (RTOR) Link Speed (mph) Link Speed (mph) Link Distance (ft) Travel Time (s) Peak Hour Factor Adj. Flow (vph) Shared Lane Traffic (%) Lane Group Flow (vph)
Frt Flt Protected Satd. Flow (prot) Flt Permitted Satd. Flow (perm) Right Turn on Red Satd. Flow (perm) Link Speed (mph) Link Speed (mph) Link Distance (ft) Travel Time (s) Peak Hour Factor Adj. Flow (vph) Shared Lane Traffic (%) Lane Group Flow (vph)
Fit Protected Satd. Flow (prot) Fit Permitted Satd. Flow (perm) Right Turn on Red Satd. Flow (RTOR) Link Speed (mph) Link Distance (ft) Travel Time (s) Peak Hour Factor Adj. Flow (vph) Shared Lane Traffic (%) Lane Group Flow (vph)
Satd. Flow (prot) Flt Permitted Satd. Flow (perm) Right Turn on Red Satd. Flow (RTOR) Link Speed (mph) Link Distance (ft) Travel Time (s) Peak Hour Factor Adj. Flow (vph) Shared Lane Traffic (%) Lane Group Flow (vph) Enter Blocked Intersection
Fit Permitted Satd. Flow (perm) Right Turn on Red Satd. Flow (RTOR) Link Speed (mph) Link Distance (ft) Travel Time (s) Peak Hour Factor Adj. Flow (vph) Shared Lane Traffic (%) Lane Group Flow (vph) Enter Blocked Intersection
Satd. Flow (perm) Right Turn on Red Satd. Flow (RTOR) Link Speed (mph) Link Distance (ft) Travel Time (s) Peak Hour Factor Adj. Flow (vph) Shared Lane Traffic (%) Lane Group Flow (vph) Enter Blocked Intersection
Right Turn on Red Satd. Flow (RTOR) Link Speed (mph) Link Distance (ft) Travel Time (s) Peak Hour Factor Adj. Flow (vph) Shared Lane Traffic (%) Lane Group Flow (vph) Enter Blocked Intersection
Satd. Flow (RTOR) Link Speed (mph) Link Distance (ft) Travel Time (s) Peak Hour Factor Adj. Flow (vph) Shared Lane Traffic (%) Lane Group Flow (vph) Enter Blocked Intersection
Link Speed (mph) Link Distance (ft) Travel Time (s) Peak Hour Factor Adj. Flow (vph) Shared Lane Traffic (%) Lane Group Flow (vph) Enter Blocked Intersection
Link Distance (ft) Travel Time (s) Peak Hour Factor Adj. Flow (vph) Shared Lane Traffic (%) Lane Group Flow (vph) Enter Blocked Intersection
Travel Time (s) Peak Hour Factor Adj. Flow (vph) Shared Lane Traffic (%) Lane Group Flow (vph) Enter Blocked Intersection
Peak Hour Factor Adj. Flow (vph) Shared Lane Traffic (%) Lane Group Flow (vph) Enter Blocked Intersection
Adj. Flow (vph) Shared Lane Traffic (%) Lane Group Flow (vph) Enter Blocked Intersection
Shared Lane Traffic (%) Lane Group Flow (vph) Enter Blocked Intersection
Lane Group Flow (vph)
Enter Blocked Intersection
Lane Alignment
Median Width(ft)
Link Offset(ft)
Crosswalk Width(ft)
Two way Left Turn Lane
Headway Factor
Turning Speed (mph)
Number of Detectors
Detector Template
Leading Detector (ft)
Trailing Detector (ft)
Detector 1 Position(ft)
Detector 1 Size(ft)
Detector 1 Type
Detector 1 Channel
Detector 1 Extend (s)
Detector 1 Queue (s)
Detector 1 Delay (s)
Detector 2 Position(ft)
Detector 2 Size(ft)
Detector 2 Type
Detector 2 Channel
Detector 2 Extend (s)
Turn Type
Protected Phases 9
Permitted Phases

2022 Existing Optimized Conditions PM Existing Conditions 4:15 pm 01/01/2022 Weekday Evening Peak Hour TEC, Inc. / FAS

04/	14	/20	23
-----	----	-----	----

	٦	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	4	←	*	1	Ť	۲	1	ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Detector Phase	3	3		4	4		5	2		1	6	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0		6.0	10.0		6.0	10.0	
Minimum Split (s)	11.0	11.0		11.0	11.0		11.0	15.0		11.0	15.0	
Total Split (s)	25.0	25.0		36.0	36.0		12.0	36.0		11.0	35.0	
Total Split (%)	19.2%	19.2%		27.7%	27.7%		9.2%	27.7%		8.5%	26.9%	
Maximum Green (s)	20.0	20.0		31.0	31.0		7.0	31.0		6.0	30.0	
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)		0.0			0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)		5.0			5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lead		Lag	Lag		Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Vehicle Extension (s)	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	3.0		2.0	3.0	
Recall Mode	None	None		None	None		None	Min		None	Min	
Walk Time (s)												
Flash Dont Walk (s)												
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)												
Act Effct Green (s)		20.2			31.3		7.1	33.6		6.0	30.2	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.17			0.27		0.06	0.29		0.05	0.26	
v/c Ratio		1.08			1.26		1.39	0.66		0.65	1.08	
Control Delay		119.6			163.7		260.5	42.1		87.9	92.9	
Queue Delay		0.0			0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Delay		119.6			163.7		260.5	42.1		87.9	92.9	
LOS		F			F		F	D		F	F	
Approach Delay		119.6			163.7			81.7			92.6	
Approach LOS		F			F			F			F	
Intersection Summary												
Area Type:	Other											
Cycle Length: 130												
Actuated Cycle Length: 116	5.8											
Natural Cycle: 150												
Control Type: Actuated-Unc	coordinated											
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.39												
Intersection Signal Delay: 1	14.2			lr	ntersectior	1 LOS: F						
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation 78.4%			10	CU Level o	of Service	D					
Analysis Period (min) 15												

Splits and Phases: 3: Park Avenue & Salisbury Street

Ø1	<b>↑</b> ø2	<u>_</u>	<b>₩</b> Ø4	
11 s	36 s	25 s	36 s	22 s
▲ Ø5	↓ ø6			
12 s	35 s			

Lane Group	Ø9	
Detector Phase		
Switch Phase		
Minimum Initial (s)	6.0	
Minimum Split (s)	22.0	
Total Split (s)	22.0	
Total Split (%)	17%	
Maximum Green (s)	17.0	
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)		
Total Lost Time (s)		
Lead/Lag		
Lead-Lag Optimize?		
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	
Recall Mode	None	
Walk Time (s)	7.0	
Flash Dont Walk (s)	10.0	
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)	15	
Act Effct Green (s)		
Actuated g/C Ratio		
v/c Ratio		
Control Delay		
Queue Delay		
Total Delay		
LOS		
Approach Delay		
Approach LOS		
Intersection Summary		

	-	+	1	Ť	1	ŧ
Lane Group	EBT	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Lane Group Flow (vph)	363	946	147	665	59	973
v/c Ratio	1.08	1.26	1.39	0.66	0.65	1.08
Control Delay	119.6	163.7	260.5	42.1	87.9	92.9
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	119.6	163.7	260.5	42.1	87.9	92.9
Queue Length 50th (ft)	~135	~412	~130	210	41	~350
Queue Length 95th (ft)	#300	#595	#300	338	#126	#655
Internal Link Dist (ft)	420	420		420		420
Turn Bay Length (ft)			125		125	
Base Capacity (vph)	335	752	106	1009	91	905
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	1.08	1.26	1.39	0.66	0.65	1.08

Intersection Summary ~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

# HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 3: Park Avenue & Salisbury Street

04/14/2023
------------

	۶	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	4	+	•	٠	Ť	۲	1	Ļ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4î b			4î»		1	A⊅		ľ	<b>↑</b> ĵ≽	
Traffic Volume (vph)	50	232	25	141	610	33	128	528	60	55	708	138
Future Volume (vph)	50	232	25	141	610	33	128	528	60	55	708	138
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)		5.0			5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Lane Util. Factor		0.95			0.95		1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95	
Frt		0.98			0.99		1.00	0.99		1.00	0.97	
Flt Protected		0.99			0.99		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)		3448			3490		1770	3487		1770	3438	
Flt Permitted		0.56			0.80		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)		1942			2812		1770	3487		1770	3438	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.74	0.91	0.62	0.89	0.81	0.93	0.87	0.88	0.93	0.94	0.90	0.74
Adj. Flow (vph)	68	255	40	158	753	35	147	600	65	59	787	186
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	16	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	363	0	0	946	0	147	659	0	59	957	0
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Prot	NA		Prot	NA	
Protected Phases		3			4		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases	3			4								
Actuated Green, G (s)		20.2			31.3		7.1	33.6		4.8	31.3	
Effective Green, g (s)		20.2			31.3		7.1	33.6		4.8	31.3	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.17			0.26		0.06	0.28		0.04	0.26	
Clearance Time (s)		5.0			5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)		2.0			2.0		2.0	3.0		2.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		324			727		103	968		70	889	
v/s Ratio Prot							c0.08	0.19		0.03	c0.28	
v/s Ratio Perm		c0.19			c0.34							
v/c Ratio		1.12			1.30		1.43	0.68		0.84	1.08	
Uniform Delay, d1		50.4			44.9		57.0	38.9		57.7	44.9	
Progression Factor		1.00			1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2		86.5			145.5		239.2	2.0		55.2	53.1	
Delay (s)		136.9			190.4		296.1	40.9		112.9	98.0	
Level of Service		F			F		F	D		F	F	
Approach Delay (s)		136.9			190.4			87.1			98.8	
Approach LOS		F			F			F			F	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			127.7	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		F			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity	ratio		1.12									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			121.0	S	um of lost	time (s)			25.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	n		78.4%	IC	CU Level o	of Service			D			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

c Critical Lane Group

Exclusive Left Conditions Report

	٦	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	•	+	•	•	Ť	1	1	Ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	۲	ĥ		۲	ĥ		ሻ	<b>≜</b> t≽		۲	<b>4</b> 16	
Traffic Volume (vph)	209	510	45	78	250	28	68	680	80	55	480	36
Future Volume (vph)	209	510	45	78	250	28	68	680	80	55	480	36
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)	250		0	250		0	125		0	125		0
Storage Lanes	1		0	1		0	1		0	1		0
Taper Length (ft)	25			25			25			25		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	0.95	0.95
Frt		0.987			0.981			0.985			0.989	
Flt Protected	0.950			0.950			0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1839	0	1770	1827	0	1770	3486	0	1770	3500	0
Flt Permitted	0.950			0.950			0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (perm)	1770	1839	0	1770	1827	0	1770	3486	0	1770	3500	0
Right Turn on Red			No			No			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)								9			6	
Link Speed (mph)		30			30			35			35	
Link Distance (ft)		500			500			500			500	
Travel Time (s)		11.4			11.4			9.7			9.7	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.83	0.75	0.77	0.84	0.65	0.85	0.86	0.91	0.84	0.85	0.81
Adi. Flow (vph)	227	614	60	101	298	43	80	791	88	65	565	44
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	227	674	0	101	341	0	80	879	0	65	609	0
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Lane Alignment	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right
Median Width(ft)		12	Ū		12	Ŭ		12	J		12	Ū
Link Offset(ft)		0			0			0			0	
Crosswalk Width(ft)		16			16			16			16	
Two way Left Turn Lane												
Headway Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Turning Speed (mph)	15		9	15		9	15		9	15		9
Number of Detectors	1	2		1	2		1	2		1	2	
Detector Template	Left	Thru		Left	Thru		Left	Thru		Left	Thru	
Leading Detector (ft)	20	100		20	100		20	100		20	100	
Trailing Detector (ft)	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Detector 1 Position(ft)	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Detector 1 Size(ft)	20	6		20	6		20	6		20	6	
Detector 1 Type	Cl+Ex	CI+Ex		Cl+Ex	CI+Ex		CI+Ex	CI+Ex		Cl+Ex	CI+Ex	
Detector 1 Channel												
Detector 1 Extend (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Detector 1 Queue (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Detector 1 Delay (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Detector 2 Position(ft)		94			94			94			94	
Detector 2 Size(ft)		6			6			6			6	
Detector 2 Type		CI+Ex			CI+Ex			CI+Ex			CI+Ex	
Detector 2 Channel												
Detector 2 Extend (s)		0.0			0.0			0.0			0.0	
Turn Type	Prot	NA		Prot	NA		Prot	NA		Prot	NA	
Protected Phases	3	8		7	4		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases												

2022 Exclusive-Left Conditions AM Existing Conditions 8:00 am 01/01/2022 Weekday Morning Peak Hour TEC, Inc. / FAS

Lane Group	Ø9
Lane Configurations	
Traffic Volume (vph)	
Future Volume (vph)	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	
Storage Length (ft)	
Storage Lanes	
Taper Length (ft)	
Lane Util. Factor	
Frt	
Flt Protected	
Satd. Flow (prot)	
Flt Permitted	
Satd. Flow (perm)	
Right Turn on Red	
Satd. Flow (RTOR)	
Link Speed (mph)	
Link Distance (ft)	
Travel Time (s)	
Peak Hour Factor	
Adj. Flow (vph)	
Shared Lane Traffic (%)	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	
Enter Blocked Intersection	
Lane Alignment	
Median Width(ft)	
Link Offset(ft)	
Crosswalk Width(ft)	
Two way Left Turn Lane	
Headway Factor	
Turning Speed (mph)	
Number of Detectors	
Detector l'emplate	
Leading Detector (ft)	
Trailing Detector (ft)	
Detector 1 Position(ft)	
Detector 1 Size(II)	
Detector 1 Type	
Detector I Channel	
Detector 1 Extend (S)	
Detector 1 Queue (s)	
Detector 1 Detay (S)	
Detector 2 Size(ft)	
Detector 2 Type	
Detector 2 Channel	
Detector 2 Extend (s)	
Protected Phases	9
Permitted Phases	

2022 Exclusive-Left Conditions AM Existing Conditions 8:00 am 01/01/2022 Weekday Morning Peak Hour TEC, Inc. / FAS

04/	14	/20	23
-----	----	-----	----

	٦	-	$\mathbf{F}$	•	←	*	1	Ť	1	1	ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Detector Phase	3	8		7	4		5	2		1	6	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0		6.0	10.0		6.0	10.0	
Minimum Split (s)	11.0	11.0		11.0	11.0		11.0	15.0		11.0	15.0	
Total Split (s)	23.0	44.0		11.0	32.0		13.0	32.0		11.0	30.0	
Total Split (%)	19.2%	36.7%		9.2%	26.7%		10.8%	26.7%		9.2%	25.0%	
Maximum Green (s)	18.0	39.0		6.0	27.0		8.0	27.0		6.0	25.0	
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Vehicle Extension (s)	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	3.0		2.0	3.0	
Recall Mode	None	None		None	None		None	Min		None	Min	
Walk Time (s)												
Flash Dont Walk (s)												
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)												
Act Effct Green (s)	16.4	39.6		6.1	29.3		7.6	27.4		6.1	25.9	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.16	0.38		0.06	0.28		0.07	0.26		0.06	0.25	
v/c Ratio	0.82	0.97		0.98	0.67		0.62	0.96		0.63	0.70	
Control Delay	68.1	61.9		137.2	44.4		72.1	60.1		79.2	42.8	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	68.1	61.9		137.2	44.4		72.1	60.1		79.2	42.8	
LOS	E	E		F	D		E	E		E	D	
Approach Delay		63.4			65.6			61.1			46.3	
Approach LOS		E			E			E			D	
Intersection Summary												
Area Type:	Other											
Cycle Length: 120												
Actuated Cycle Length: 104	1.6											
Natural Cycle: 140												
Control Type: Actuated-Und	coordinated											
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.98												
Intersection Signal Delay: 5	59.1			lr	ntersection	1 LOS: E						
Intersection Capacity Utilization	ation 77.6%	)		10	CU Level o	of Service	e D					
Analysis Period (min) 15												

Splits and Phases: 3: Park Avenue & Salisbury Street

Ø1	<b>1</b> Ø2			<b>←</b> Ø4	<b>∦1</b> ø9	
11 s	32 s	23 s		32 s	22 s	
▲ Ø5	↓ Ø6	<b>√</b> Ø7	<b>→</b> Ø8			
13 s	30 s	11 s	44 s			

Lane Group	Ø9	
Detector Phase		
Switch Phase		
Minimum Initial (s)	6.0	
Minimum Split (s)	22.0	
Total Split (s)	22.0	
Total Split (%)	18%	
Maximum Green (s)	17.0	
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)		
Total Lost Time (s)		
Lead/Lag		
Lead-Lag Optimize?		
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	
Recall Mode	None	
Walk Time (s)	7.0	
Flash Dont Walk (s)	10.0	
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)	15	
Act Effct Green (s)		
Actuated g/C Ratio		
v/c Ratio		
Control Delay		
Queue Delay		
Total Delay		
LOS		
Approach Delay		
Approach LOS		
Intersection Summary		

	٦	-	1	-	1	1	1	.↓	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	227	674	101	341	80	879	65	609	
v/c Ratio	0.82	0.97	0.98	0.67	0.62	0.96	0.63	0.70	
Control Delay	68.1	61.9	137.2	44.4	72.1	60.1	79.2	42.8	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	68.1	61.9	137.2	44.4	72.1	60.1	79.2	42.8	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	134	392	64	189	49	276	40	178	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	#313	#734	#167	#355	#126	#500	#116	285	
Internal Link Dist (ft)		420		420		420		420	
Turn Bay Length (ft)	250		250		125		125		
Base Capacity (vph)	309	696	103	512	137	920	103	871	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.73	0.97	0.98	0.67	0.58	0.96	0.63	0.70	

Intersection Summary

# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

# HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 3: Park Avenue & Salisbury Street

	≯	-	$\mathbf{F}$	4	+	•	•	Ť	۲	1	Ļ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	۲	eî.		۲	el 🕴		۲	A⊅		۲.	A⊅	
Traffic Volume (vph)	209	510	45	78	250	28	68	680	80	55	480	36
Future Volume (vph)	209	510	45	78	250	28	68	680	80	55	480	36
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95	
Frt	1.00	0.99		1.00	0.98		1.00	0.98		1.00	0.99	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1838		1770	1828		1770	3486		1770	3501	
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1770	1838		1770	1828		1770	3486		1770	3501	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.83	0.75	0.77	0.84	0.65	0.85	0.86	0.91	0.84	0.85	0.81
Adj. Flow (vph)	227	614	60	101	298	43	80	791	88	65	565	44
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	5	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	227	674	0	101	341	0	80	872	0	65	604	0
Turn Type	Prot	NA		Prot	NA		Prot	NA		Prot	NA	
Protected Phases	3	8		7	4		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases												
Actuated Green, G (s)	16.4	39.6		6.1	29.3		6.2	27.4		4.7	25.9	
Effective Green, g (s)	16.4	39.6		6.1	29.3		6.2	27.4		4.7	25.9	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.15	0.36		0.06	0.27		0.06	0.25		0.04	0.24	
Clearance Time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	3.0		2.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	267	669		99	492		100	878		76	834	
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.13	c0.37		0.06	0.19		c0.05	c0.25		0.04	0.17	
v/s Ratio Perm												
v/c Ratio	0.85	1.01		1.02	0.69		0.80	0.99		0.86	0.72	
Uniform Delay, d1	45.0	34.5		51.3	35.7		50.6	40.6		51.7	38.1	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	21.2	36.6		96.0	3.4		33.6	28.6		55.3	3.1	
Delay (s)	66.2	71.2		147.3	39.1		84.2	69.2		106.9	41.3	
Level of Service	E	E		F	D		F	E		F	D	
Approach Delay (s)		69.9			63.8			70.4			47.6	
Approach LOS		E			E			E			D	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			64.1	H	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		E			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capaci	ity ratio		0.95									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			108.7	Si	um of lost	time (s)			25.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilizati	on		77.6%	IC	U Level o	of Service			D			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

c Critical Lane Group

	≯	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	4	+	•	•	Ť	۴	1	Ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	۲	ĥ		5	ĥ		۲	<b>≜</b> t≽		۲	<b>4</b> 16	
Traffic Volume (vph)	50	232	25	141	610	33	128	528	60	55	708	138
Future Volume (vph)	50	232	25	141	610	33	128	528	60	55	708	138
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)	250		0	250		0	125		0	125		0
Storage Lanes	1		0	1		0	1		0	1		0
Taper Length (ft)	25			25			25			25		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	0.95	0.95
Frt		0.980			0.993			0.985			0.971	
Flt Protected	0.950			0.950			0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1825	0	1770	1850	0	1770	3486	0	1770	3437	0
Flt Permitted	0.950			0.950			0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (perm)	1770	1825	0	1770	1850	0	1770	3486	0	1770	3437	0
Right Turn on Red			No			No			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)								9			22	
Link Speed (mph)		30			30			30			30	
Link Distance (ft)		500			500			500			500	
Travel Time (s)		11.4			11.4			11.4			11.4	
Peak Hour Factor	0.74	0.91	0.62	0.89	0.81	0.93	0.87	0.88	0.93	0.94	0.90	0.74
Adi, Flow (vph)	68	255	40	158	753	35	147	600	65	59	787	186
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	68	295	0	158	788	0	147	665	0	59	973	0
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Lane Alignment	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right
Median Width(ft)		12	<b>J</b> *		12	<b>J</b> -		12	<b>J</b> -		12	5
Link Offset(ft)		0			0			0			0	
Crosswalk Width(ft)		16			16			16			16	
Two way Left Turn Lane												
Headway Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Turning Speed (mph)	15		9	15		9	15		9	15		9
Number of Detectors	1	2		1	2		1	2		1	2	
Detector Template	Left	Thru		Left	Thru		Left	Thru		Left	Thru	
Leading Detector (ft)	20	100		20	100		20	100		20	100	
Trailing Detector (ft)	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Detector 1 Position(ft)	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Detector 1 Size(ft)	20	6		20	6		20	6		20	6	
Detector 1 Type	CI+Ex	CI+Ex		Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		CI+Ex	CI+Ex		CI+Ex	CI+Ex	
Detector 1 Channel												
Detector 1 Extend (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Detector 1 Queue (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Detector 1 Delay (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Detector 2 Position(ft)		94			94			94			94	
Detector 2 Size(ft)		6			6			6			6	
Detector 2 Type		CI+Ex			Cl+Ex			CI+Ex			CI+Ex	
Detector 2 Channel												
Detector 2 Extend (s)		0.0			0.0			0.0			0.0	
Turn Type	Prot	NA		Prot	NA		Prot	NA		Prot	NA	
Protected Phases	3	7		8	4		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases							-	_				

2022 Exclusive-Left Conditions PM Existing Conditions 4:15 pm 01/01/2022 Weekday Evening Peak Hour TEC, Inc. / FAS

Lane Group	Ø9
Lane Configurations	
Traffic Volume (vph)	
Future Volume (vph)	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	
Storage Length (ft)	
Storage Lanes	
Taper Length (ft)	
Lane Util. Factor	
Frt	
Flt Protected	
Satd. Flow (prot)	
Flt Permitted	
Satd. Flow (perm)	
Right Turn on Red	
Satd. Flow (RTOR)	
Link Speed (mph)	
Link Distance (ft)	
Travel Time (s)	
Peak Hour Factor	
Adj. Flow (vph)	
Shared Lane Traffic (%)	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	
Enter Blocked Intersection	
Lane Alignment	
Median Width(ft)	
Link Offset(ft)	
Crosswalk Width(ft)	
Two way Left Turn Lane	
Headway Factor	
Turning Speed (mph)	
Number of Detectors	
Detector l'emplate	
Leading Detector (ft)	
Trailing Detector (ft)	
Detector 1 Position(ft)	
Detector 1 Size(II)	
Detector 1 Type	
Detector I Channel	
Detector 1 Extend (S)	
Detector 1 Queue (s)	
Detector 1 Detay (S)	
Detector 2 Size(ft)	
Detector 2 Type	
Detector 2 Channel	
Detector 2 Extend (s)	
Protected Phases	9
Permitted Phases	

2022 Exclusive-Left Conditions PM Existing Conditions 4:15 pm 01/01/2022 Weekday Evening Peak Hour TEC, Inc. / FAS

	٦	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	4	+	*	1	Ť	۲	1	ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Detector Phase	3	7		8	4		5	2		1	6	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0		6.0	10.0		6.0	10.0	
Minimum Split (s)	11.0	11.0		11.0	11.0		11.0	15.0		11.0	15.0	
Total Split (s)	11.0	29.0		24.0	42.0		13.0	34.0		11.0	32.0	
Total Split (%)	9.2%	24.2%		20.0%	35.0%		10.8%	28.3%		9.2%	26.7%	
Maximum Green (s)	6.0	24.0		19.0	37.0		8.0	29.0		6.0	27.0	
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Vehicle Extension (s)	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	3.0		2.0	3.0	
Recall Mode	None	None		None	None		None	Min		None	Min	
Walk Time (s)												
Flash Dont Walk (s)												
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)												
Act Effct Green (s)	6.1	29.9		13.5	37.4		8.1	31.7		6.1	27.3	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.06	0.28		0.13	0.35		0.08	0.30		0.06	0.26	
v/c Ratio	0.68	0.58		0.71	1.22		1.10	0.64		0.59	1.09	
Control Delay	84.5	42.0		63.2	144.1		154.6	37.9		76.1	95.0	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	84.5	42.0		63.2	144.1		154.6	37.9		76.1	95.0	
LOS	F	D		E	F		F	D		E	F	
Approach Delay		49.9			130.6			59.1			93.9	
Approach LOS		D			F			E			F	
Intersection Summary												
Area Type:	Other											
Cycle Length: 120												
Actuated Cycle Length: 106	.8											
Natural Cycle: 150												
Control Type: Actuated-Unc	oordinated	l										
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.22												
Intersection Signal Delay: 9	0.9			lr	ntersectior	1 LOS: F						
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion 86.8%	)		10	CU Level o	of Service	ε					
Analysis Period (min) 15												

Splits and Phases: 3: Park Avenue & Salisbury Street

Ø1	Ø2		<b>←</b> Ø4		. <b>#</b> ₿ <sub>Ø9</sub>
11 s	34 s	11 s	42 s		22 s
<b>1</b> Ø5	<b>↓</b> Ø6	<b>√</b> Ø8		<b>1</b> 07	
13 s	32 s	24 s	29	9 s	

Lane Group	Ø9	
Detector Phase		
Switch Phase		
Minimum Initial (s)	6.0	
Minimum Split (s)	22.0	
Total Split (s)	22.0	
Total Split (%)	18%	
Maximum Green (s)	17.0	
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)		
Total Lost Time (s)		
Lead/Lag		
Lead-Lag Optimize?		
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	
Recall Mode	None	
Walk Time (s)	7.0	
Flash Dont Walk (s)	10.0	
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)	15	
Act Effct Green (s)		
Actuated g/C Ratio		
v/c Ratio		
Control Delay		
Queue Delay		
Total Delay		
LOS		
Approach Delay		
Approach LOS		
Intersection Summary		

	≯	-	-	-	1	1	1	.↓	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	68	295	158	788	147	665	59	973	
v/c Ratio	0.68	0.58	0.71	1.22	1.10	0.64	0.59	1.09	
Control Delay	84.5	42.0	63.2	144.1	154.6	37.9	76.1	95.0	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	84.5	42.0	63.2	144.1	154.6	37.9	76.1	95.0	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	42	151	95	~573	~95	186	36	~320	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	#98	#359	184	#899	#264	313	#114	#620	
Internal Link Dist (ft)		420		420		420		420	
Turn Bay Length (ft)	250		250		125		125		
Base Capacity (vph)	100	511	318	647	134	1040	100	893	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.68	0.58	0.50	1.22	1.10	0.64	0.59	1.09	

#### Intersection Summary

~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

# HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 3: Park Avenue & Salisbury Street

04/14/2023
------------

	≯	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	4	+	•	٠	Ť	1	5	Ļ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	۲	f,		۲	¢Î		ľ	<b>∱1</b> ≱		ľ	A1⊅	
Traffic Volume (vph)	50	232	25	141	610	33	128	528	60	55	708	138
Future Volume (vph)	50	232	25	141	610	33	128	528	60	55	708	138
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95	
Frt	1.00	0.98		1.00	0.99		1.00	0.99		1.00	0.97	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1825		1770	1850		1770	3487		1770	3438	
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1770	1825		1770	1850		1770	3487		1770	3438	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.74	0.91	0.62	0.89	0.81	0.93	0.87	0.88	0.93	0.94	0.90	0.74
Adj. Flow (vph)	68	255	40	158	753	35	147	600	65	59	787	186
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	16	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	68	295	0	158	788	0	147	659	0	59	957	0
Turn Type	Prot	NA		Prot	NA		Prot	NA		Prot	NA	
Protected Phases	3	7		8	4		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases												
Actuated Green, G (s)	6.1	30.0		13.5	37.4		8.1	31.7		4.8	28.4	
Effective Green, g (s)	6.1	30.0		13.5	37.4		8.1	31.7		4.8	28.4	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.05	0.27		0.12	0.34		0.07	0.29		0.04	0.26	
Clearance Time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	3.0		2.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	97	492		215	622		129	994		76	878	
v/s Ratio Prot	0.04	0.16		c0.09	c0.43		c0.08	c0.19		0.03	c0.28	
v/s Ratio Perm												
v/c Ratio	0.70	0.60		0.73	1.27		1.14	0.66		0.78	1.09	
Uniform Delay, d1	51.6	35.3		47.1	36.8		51.5	35.0		52.6	41.3	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	17.0	1.3		10.7	132.5		121.6	1.7		35.3	57.7	
Delay (s)	68.6	36.6		57.7	169.4		173.1	36.7		87.9	99.1	
Level of Service	E	D		E	F		F	D		F	F	
Approach Delay (s)		42.6			150.7			61.4			98.4	
Approach LOS		D			F			E			F	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			98.2	Н	CM 2000	Level of	Service		F			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacit	y ratio		1.08									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)	-		111.1	S	um of lost	time (s)			25.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	n		86.8%	IC	CU Level o	of Service			Е			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

c Critical Lane Group

Concurrent Pedestrian Phase Conditions Report

	۶	-	$\mathbf{F}$	4	+	•	1	1	۲	1	Ļ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	5	1.		5	î.		5	<b>4</b> 16		5	<b>≜1</b> 5	
Traffic Volume (vph)	209	510	45	78	250	28	68	680	80	55	480	36
Future Volume (vph)	209	510	45	78	250	28	68	680	80	55	480	36
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)	250		0	250		0	125		0	125		0
Storage Lanes	1		0	1		0	1		0	1		0
Taper Length (ft)	25		•	25		•	25		•	25		•
Lane Util Factor	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	0.95	0.95	1 00	0.95	0.95
Frt		0.987			0.981			0.985	0.00		0.989	0.00
Flt Protected	0 950	0.001		0 950	0.001		0 950	0.000		0 950	0.000	
Satd Flow (prot)	1770	1839	0	1770	1827	0	1770	3486	0	1770	3500	0
Fit Permitted	0.950	1000	Ŭ	0.950	IUEI	Ū	0.950	0100	Ŭ	0.950	0000	Ű
Satd Flow (perm)	1770	1839	0	1770	1827	0	1770	3486	0	1770	3500	0
Right Turn on Red	1110	1000	No	1110	1021	No	1110	0-00	Ves	1110	0000	Yes
Satd Flow (RTOR)			NO			NO		10	103		7	103
Link Speed (mph)		30			30			35			35	
Link Distance (ff)		500			500			500			500	
		11 /			11 /			0.7			0.7	
Dook Hour Foster	0.02	0.02	0.75	0.77	0.94	0.65	0.95	9.7	0.01	0.04	9.7	0.01
	0.92	0.05	0.75	101	0.04	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.91	0.04	0.00	0.01
Auj. Flow (vpli)	221	014	00	101	290	43	00	791	00	00	505	44
	007	674	0	101	244	0	00	070	0	<u>CE</u>	600	0
Enter Disclored Interestion		0/4	U	IUI No	34 I	U	00	0/9	U	CO	009 No	U
	INO	INO	Dialat	INO	INU	Diaht	INO	INO	Dialet	INU	INU	Dialat
Lane Alignment	Len	Lent	Right	Len	Len	Right	Len	Len	Right	Len	Len	Right
		12			12			12			12	
		0			0			0			0	
Crosswalk Width(ft)		16			16			16			16	
Iwo way Left Turn Lane	4 00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
Headway Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Turning Speed (mph)	15	<u> </u>	9	15	<u> </u>	9	15	_	9	15	<u>,</u>	9
Number of Detectors	1	2		1	2		1	2		1	2	
Detector l'emplate	Left	l hru		Left	l hru		Left	l hru		Left	I hru	
Leading Detector (ft)	20	100		20	100		20	100		20	100	
Trailing Detector (ft)	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Detector 1 Position(ft)	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Detector 1 Size(ft)	20	6		20	6		20	6		20	6	
Detector 1 Type	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex	CI+Ex		CI+Ex	CI+Ex		Cl+Ex	CI+Ex	
Detector 1 Channel												
Detector 1 Extend (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Detector 1 Queue (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Detector 1 Delay (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Detector 2 Position(ft)		94			94			94			94	
Detector 2 Size(ft)		6			6			6			6	
Detector 2 Type		CI+Ex			Cl+Ex			CI+Ex			CI+Ex	
Detector 2 Channel												
Detector 2 Extend (s)		0.0			0.0			0.0			0.0	
Turn Type	Prot	NA		Prot	NA		Prot	NA		Prot	NA	
Protected Phases	3	8		7	4		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases												

2022 Concurrent Pedestrian Phase Conditions AM Existing Conditions 8:00 am 01/01/2022 Weekday Morning Peak Housynchro 11 Report TEC, Inc. / FAS Page 1

04/14/	2023
--------	------

	٦	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	1	←	*	1	1	۲	1	Ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Detector Phase	3	8		7	4		5	2		1	6	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	6.0		5.0	6.0		6.0	10.0		6.0	10.0	
Minimum Split (s)	22.5	27.0		22.5	27.0		11.0	27.0		11.0	27.0	
Total Split (s)	28.6	51.5		15.0	37.9		11.0	32.5		11.0	32.5	
Total Split (%)	26.0%	46.8%		13.6%	34.5%		10.0%	29.5%		10.0%	29.5%	
Maximum Green (s)	23.6	46.5		10.0	32.9		6.0	27.5		6.0	27.5	
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	2.0		3.0	2.0		2.0	3.0		2.0	3.0	
Recall Mode	None	None		None	None		None	Min		None	Min	
Walk Time (s)		7.0			7.0			7.0			7.0	
Flash Dont Walk (s)		12.0			12.0			10.0			10.0	
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)		0			0			0			0	
Act Effct Green (s)	18.0	41.0		9.4	28.8		6.3	28.7		6.3	25.3	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.18	0.41		0.09	0.29		0.06	0.29		0.06	0.26	
v/c Ratio	0.71	0.89		0.60	0.64		0.72	0.87		0.59	0.68	
Control Delay	52.9	43.5		63.5	37.5		85.2	46.9		72.1	38.8	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	52.9	43.5		63.5	37.5		85.2	46.9		72.1	38.8	
LOS	D	D		E	D		F	D		E	D	
Approach Delay		45.9			43.4			50.1			42.0	
Approach LOS		D			D			D			D	
Intersection Summary												
Area Type:	Other											
Cycle Length: 110												
Actuated Cycle Length: 99.	.2											
Natural Cycle: 110												
Control Type: Actuated-Un	coordinated	ł										
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.89												
Intersection Signal Delay: 4	46.0			Ir	ntersection	n LOS: D						
Intersection Capacity Utilization	ation 76.9%	)		10	CU Level of	of Service	Ð					
Analysis Period (min) 15												

Splits and Phases: 3: Park Avenue & Salisbury Street

Ø1	¶ø₂			· ·	<b>∢</b> Ø4	
11 s	32.5 s	28.6 s		3	7.9 s	
Ø5	↓ Ø6	<b>√</b> Ø7	<b>→</b> Ø8			
11 s	32.5 s	15 s	51.5 s			

<sup>2022</sup> Concurrent Pedestrian Phase Conditions AM Existing Conditions 8:00 am 01/01/2022 Weekday Morning Peak House ynchro 11 Report TEC, Inc. / FAS Page 2

	≯	-	1	-	1	1	1	Ļ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	227	674	101	341	80	879	65	609	
v/c Ratio	0.71	0.89	0.60	0.64	0.72	0.87	0.59	0.68	
Control Delay	52.9	43.5	63.5	37.5	85.2	46.9	72.1	38.8	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	52.9	43.5	63.5	37.5	85.2	46.9	72.1	38.8	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	152	425	69	194	57	321	46	201	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	228	520	108	280	#134	#430	#103	248	
Internal Link Dist (ft)		420		420		420		420	
Turn Bay Length (ft)	250		250		125		125		
Base Capacity (vph)	439	899	186	649	111	1015	111	1017	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.52	0.75	0.54	0.53	0.72	0.87	0.59	0.60	
Interpretion Cummon									

#### Intersection Summary

# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

<sup>2022</sup> Concurrent Pedestrian Phase Conditions AM Existing Conditions 8:00 am 01/01/2022 Weekday Morning Peak House ynchro 11 Report TEC, Inc. / FAS Page 3

# HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 3: Park Avenue & Salisbury Street

	≯	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	4	+	•	٠	Ť	۲	1	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	٦	el el		1	el el		1	<b>↑</b> ĵ≽		1	<b>≜</b> ⊅	
Traffic Volume (vph)	209	510	45	78	250	28	68	680	80	55	480	36
Future Volume (vph)	209	510	45	78	250	28	68	680	80	55	480	36
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95	
Frt	1.00	0.99		1.00	0.98		1.00	0.98		1.00	0.99	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1838		1770	1828		1770	3486		1770	3501	
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1770	1838		1770	1828		1770	3486		1770	3501	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.83	0.75	0.77	0.84	0.65	0.85	0.86	0.91	0.84	0.85	0.81
Adj. Flow (vph)	227	614	60	101	298	43	80	791	88	65	565	44
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	5	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	227	674	0	101	341	0	80	872	0	65	604	0
Turn Type	Prot	NA		Prot	NA		Prot	NA		Prot	NA	
Protected Phases	3	8		7	4		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases												
Actuated Green, G (s)	18.0	41.0		7.3	30.3		6.3	28.7		4.4	26.8	
Effective Green, g (s)	18.0	41.0		7.3	30.3		6.3	28.7		4.4	26.8	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.18	0.40		0.07	0.30		0.06	0.28		0.04	0.26	
Clearance Time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	2.0		3.0	2.0		2.0	3.0		2.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	314	743		127	546		109	986		76	925	
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.13	c0.37		0.06	0.19		c0.05	c0.25		0.04	0.17	
v/s Ratio Perm												
v/c Ratio	0.72	0.91		0.80	0.62		0.73	0.88		0.86	0.65	
Uniform Delay, d1	39.3	28.4		46.3	30.6		46.7	34.8		48.2	33.2	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	8.0	14.4		28.1	1.6		19.6	9.5		55.3	1.7	
Delay (s)	47.3	42.8		74.4	32.3		66.3	44.3		103.5	34.8	
Level of Service	D	D		E	С		E	D		F	С	
Approach Delay (s)		44.0			41.9			46.1			41.4	
Approach LOS		D			D			D			D	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			43.8	H	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		D			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capaci	ty ratio		0.91									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			101.4	Sum of lost time (s)					20.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	on		76.9%	IC	U Level o	of Service			D			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

c Critical Lane Group

	۶	-	$\mathbf{F}$	4	+	*	1	Ť	۲	1	Ļ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	5	1.		5	î.		5	<b>≜t</b> ⊾		5	<b>≜1</b> 5	
Traffic Volume (vph)	50	232	25	141	610	33	128	528	60	55	708	138
Future Volume (vph)	50	232	25	141	610	33	128	528	60	55	708	138
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)	250		0	250		0	125		0	125		0
Storage Lanes	1		0	1		0	1		0	1		0
Taper Length (ft)	25			25			25			25		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	0.95	0.95
Frt		0.980			0.993			0.985			0.971	
Flt Protected	0.950			0.950			0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1825	0	1770	1850	0	1770	3486	0	1770	3437	0
Flt Permitted	0.950			0.950			0.950			0.950		-
Satd. Flow (perm)	1770	1825	0	1770	1850	0	1770	3486	0	1770	3437	0
Right Turn on Red			No			No			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)			-					10			24	
Link Speed (mph)		30			30			30			30	
Link Distance (ft)		500			500			500			500	
Travel Time (s)		11.4			11.4			11.4			11.4	
Peak Hour Factor	0.74	0.91	0.62	0.89	0.81	0.93	0.87	0.88	0.93	0.94	0.90	0.74
Adi, Flow (vph)	68	255	40	158	753	35	147	600	65	59	787	186
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	68	295	0	158	788	0	147	665	0	59	973	0
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Lane Alignment	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right
Median Width(ft)		12			12			12			12	
Link Offset(ft)		0			0			0			0	
Crosswalk Width(ft)		16			16			16			16	
Two way Left Turn Lane												
Headway Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Turning Speed (mph)	15		9	15		9	15		9	15		9
Number of Detectors	1	2		1	2		1	2		1	2	
Detector Template	Left	Thru		Left	Thru		Left	Thru		Left	Thru	
Leading Detector (ft)	20	100		20	100		20	100		20	100	
Trailing Detector (ft)	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Detector 1 Position(ft)	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Detector 1 Size(ft)	20	6		20	6		20	6		20	6	
Detector 1 Type	CI+Ex	CI+Ex		CI+Ex	CI+Ex		CI+Ex	CI+Ex		Cl+Ex	CI+Ex	
Detector 1 Channel												
Detector 1 Extend (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Detector 1 Queue (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Detector 1 Delay (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Detector 2 Position(ft)		94			94			94			94	
Detector 2 Size(ft)		6			6			6			6	
Detector 2 Type		CI+Ex			Cl+Ex			CI+Ex			CI+Ex	
Detector 2 Channel												
Detector 2 Extend (s)		0.0			0.0			0.0			0.0	
Turn Type	Prot	NA		Prot	NA		Prot	NA		Prot	NA	
Protected Phases	3	8		7	4		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases												

2022 Concurrent Pedestrian Phase Conditions PM Existing Conditions 4:15 pm 01/01/2022 Weekday Evening Peak Housynchro 11 Report TEC, Inc. / FAS Page 1

	٦	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	4	←	*	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Detector Phase	3	8		7	4		5	2		1	6	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0	
Minimum Split (s)	11.0	28.0		11.0	28.0		11.0	26.0		11.0	26.0	
Total Split (s)	11.0	44.0		23.0	56.0		15.0	39.0		14.0	38.0	
Total Split (%)	9.2%	36.7%		19.2%	46.7%		12.5%	32.5%		11.7%	31.7%	
Maximum Green (s)	6.0	39.0		18.0	51.0		10.0	34.0		9.0	33.0	
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Vehicle Extension (s)	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	3.0		2.0	3.0	
Recall Mode	None	None		None	None		None	Min		None	Min	
Walk Time (s)		7.0			7.0			7.0			7.0	
Flash Dont Walk (s)		12.0			12.0			10.0			10.0	
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)		20			20			20			20	
Act Effct Green (s)	6.0	42.6		14.4	51.0		10.0	37.3		7.9	33.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.05	0.36		0.12	0.42		0.08	0.31		0.07	0.28	
v/c Ratio	0.77	0.46		0.75	1.00		1.00	0.61		0.51	1.01	
Control Delay	104.4	33.5		71.5	67.7		129.6	38.4		69.6	74.2	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	104.4	33.5		71.5	67.7		129.6	38.4		69.6	74.2	
LOS	F	С		E	E		F	D		E	E	
Approach Delay		46.8			68.3			54.9			73.9	
Approach LOS		D			E			D			E	
Intersection Summary												
Area Type:	Other											
Cycle Length: 120												
Actuated Cycle Length: 120	)											
Natural Cycle: 110												
Control Type: Actuated-Une	coordinated											
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.01												
Intersection Signal Delay: 6	64.2			Ir	ntersection	n LOS: E						
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation 86.8%	)		10	CU Level of	of Service	θE					
Analysis Period (min) 15												

#### Splits and Phases: 3: Park Avenue & Salisbury Street

Ø1	Ø2		∕,	<b>Ø</b> 3	<b>↓</b> Ø4	
14 s	39 s	1	1 s		56 s	
Ø5	Ø6		<b>(</b>	Ø7		<b>→</b> Ø8
15 s	38 s	2	3 s			44 s

	≯	-	1	+	1	<b>†</b>	1	.↓	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	68	295	158	788	147	665	59	973	
v/c Ratio	0.77	0.46	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.61	0.51	1.01	
Control Delay	104.4	33.5	71.5	67.7	129.6	38.4	69.6	74.2	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	104.4	33.5	71.5	67.7	129.6	38.4	69.6	74.2	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	53	176	119	~604	116	234	45	~397	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	#98	271	186	#725	#241	294	90	#544	
Internal Link Dist (ft)		420		420		420		420	
Turn Bay Length (ft)	250		250		125		125		
Base Capacity (vph)	88	647	265	786	147	1091	132	962	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.77	0.46	0.60	1.00	1.00	0.61	0.45	1.01	

#### Intersection Summary

Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
## HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 3: Park Avenue & Salisbury Street

	≯	-	$\mathbf{F}$	4	←	•	•	Ť	1	1	Ļ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	۲	ef 👘		٢	4Î		۲.	A		ľ	<b>∱1</b> ≱	
Traffic Volume (vph)	50	232	25	141	610	33	128	528	60	55	708	138
Future Volume (vph)	50	232	25	141	610	33	128	528	60	55	708	138
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95	
Frt	1.00	0.98		1.00	0.99		1.00	0.99		1.00	0.97	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1825		1770	1850		1770	3487		1770	3438	
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1770	1825		1770	1850		1770	3487		1770	3438	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.74	0.91	0.62	0.89	0.81	0.93	0.87	0.88	0.93	0.94	0.90	0.74
Adj. Flow (vph)	68	255	40	158	753	35	147	600	65	59	787	186
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	17	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	68	295	0	158	788	0	147	658	0	59	956	0
Turn Type	Prot	NA		Prot	NA		Prot	NA		Prot	NA	
Protected Phases	3	8		7	4		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases												
Actuated Green, G (s)	6.0	42.6		14.4	51.0		10.0	37.3		6.7	34.0	
Effective Green, g (s)	6.0	42.6		14.4	51.0		10.0	37.3		6.7	34.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.05	0.35		0.12	0.42		0.08	0.31		0.06	0.28	
Clearance Time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	3.0		2.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	87	642		210	779		146	1074		98	966	
v/s Ratio Prot	0.04	0.16		c0.09	c0.43		c0.08	c0.19		0.03	c0.28	
v/s Ratio Perm												
v/c Ratio	0.78	0.46		0.75	1.01		1.01	0.61		0.60	0.99	
Uniform Delay, d1	56.9	30.3		51.6	35.0		55.5	35.7		55.8	43.3	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	33.2	0.2		12.6	35.1		76.3	1.0		7.0	26.0	
Delay (s)	90.1	30.5		64.2	70.1		131.8	36.7		62.8	69.4	
Level of Service	F	С		E	E		F	D		E	E	
Approach Delay (s)		41.7			69.1			53.9			69.0	
Approach LOS		D			E			D			E	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			62.0	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		Е			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacit	y ratio		1.00									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			121.0	S	um of lost	time (s)			20.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	n		86.8%	IC	CU Level o	of Service			E			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

c Critical Lane Group

## Attachment D

Pedestrian & Traffic Signal Clearance Worksheets

## **CLEARANCE INTERVAL CALCULATIONS**



JDB: Park Avenue at Salisbury Street	JOB NUMBER:	T1353.01		
LOCATION: Worcester, MA	DATE:	4/6/2023		
TITLE: Park Avenue at Salisbury Street	SHEET:	1	OF	6
CALCULATED BY: TEC, Inc. / FAS	CHECKED BY:	TEC, Inc. / KRD	)	

Assumptions:	t =	1 sec	(driver reaction time)
	g =	32.2 ft/s <sup>2</sup>	(acceleration due to gravity)
	a =	10.0 ft/s <sup>2</sup>	(deceleration rate of vehicles)
	L =	20 ft	(Length of a standard vehicle)

- Definition of Input Values:  $S_{85} = (85^{th} \text{ Percentile Speed of Roadway, mph})$ 
  - G = (Grade of approach, %)
  - W = (distance from the departure STOP line to the far side of the farthest conflicting traffic lane, ft)
  - P = (distance from the departure STOP line to the near side of the farthest conflicting crosswalk, ft)

<u>Approach</u>	Input Values	<u>C</u>	Calculated Values				
Salisbury Street EB	$S_{85} = 30$ mph G = -5 %	Clearance (sec)	All Red Clearance (Sec) $CW < 40$ ft from $CW \ge 40$ ft fromfarthest conflictfarthest conflict				
	w = 90 ft $P = 80 ft$	3.6	1.5	1.3			
<u>Approach</u>	Input Values	<u>C</u>	Calculated Values				
Soliobury Street	_	Yellow	arance (sec)				
WB	$S_{85} = 30$ mph G = 2 %	Clearance (sec)	CW < 40 ft from farthest conflict	CW $\geq$ 40 ft from farthest conflict			
	w = 90  ft P = 80  ft	3.1	1.5	1.3			
Approach	Input Values Calculated Values						
		Yellow All Red Clearance (sec)					
Park Avenue NB	$S_{85} = 35$ mph G = 0 %	Clearance (sec)	CW < 40 ft from farthest conflict	CW <u>&gt;</u> 40 ft from farthest conflict			
	w = 90 ft $P = 60 ft$	3.6	1.1	1.0			
<u>Approach</u>	Input Values	<u>C</u>	alculated Valu	<u>es</u>			
Derly Avenue	. –	Yellow	Yellow All Red Clearance (sec)				
Park Avenue SB	$S_{85} = 35$ mph G = 0 %	Clearance (sec)	CW < 40 ft from farthest conflict	CW ≥ 40 ft from farthest conflict			
	w = 90 ft $P = 70 ft$	3.6	1.1	1.0			

\*Updated based on MassDOT guidelines (January 8, 2013)

JOB / JOB NUMBER:	Park Avenue at Salisbury Street / T1353.01
LOCATION:	Worcester, MA
DATE:	4/11/2023
CALCULATED BY:	TEC, Inc. / FAS
CHECKED BY:	TEC, Inc. / KRD

Pedestrian Clearance Time (PCT)

Southbound PCT =

17



FWD =

17

DW =

3